



# MarinTrust Standard V2

# By-product Fishery Assessment VNM02 – Yellowfin tuna in FAO Areas 71 & 81

#### **MarinTrust Programme**

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



# Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Yellowfin tuna, Thunnus albacares	
Fishery Under Assessment	Geographical area:	FAO Areas 71, 81	
	Country of origin of the product:	Vietnam, Solomon Islands, Fiji, Cook Islands, Australia	
	Stock:	Western and Central Pacific Ocean (WCPO) Yellowfin	
Date	July 2023		
Report Code		VNM02	
Assessor		Sam Peacock	
Country of origin of the product - PASS	Vietnam, Solomon Islands, Fiji, Cook Islands, Australia		
Country of origin of the product - FAIL		n/a	

Application details and	I summary of the assess	sment outcome						
Company Name(s): TC Union Vietnam Co. Ltd, Thien Quynh Co. Ltd, Thien Quynh Khanh Hoa Sole								
Member Limited Liabil	Member Limited Liability Company							
Country: Vietnam								
Email address:	Email address: Applicant Code:							
<b>Certification Body Deta</b>	ails							
Name of Certification I	Body:		LRQA					
Assessor Peer Reviewer		Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/ Re-approval					
Sam Peacock Jose Peiro Crespo 0.2 Surveillance 2								
Assessment Period		July 2023 -	– July 2024					

Scope Details	
Main Species	Yellowfin tuna, Thunnus albacares
Stock	WCPO Yellowfin
Fishery Location	FAO Areas 71, 81
Management Authority (Country/ State)	Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC)
Gear Type(s)	Purse seine, longline, pole & line, handline
Outcome of Assessment	
Peer Review Evaluation	Pass
Recommendation	Pass



## Table 2. Assessment Determination

#### **Assessment Determination**

Yellowfin tuna has been categorised by the IUCN Red List as Least Concern, and does not appear in the CITES appendices. Western and Central Pacific Ocean (WCPO) yellowfin tuna is managed relative to the target reference point B<sub>MSY</sub> and was therefore assessed under Category C.

The most recent stock assessment was conducted in 2020 and utilised all available catch and survey data. 72 model runs were carried out in order to provide a range of results according to different variable assumptions. All models produced results indicating that the stock biomass is larger than B<sub>MSY</sub>, and therefore also larger than any potential limit reference point. WCPO yellowfin meets the MT byproduct requirements and should be reapproved for use as a raw material.

#### **Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments**

The by-product fishery under assessment is the yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) purse seine, longline, pole & line, handline fisheries in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean (WCPO) (FAO Areas 71 and 81). The species is classified as LC in the IUCN red list. The stock is managed relative to biomass-based reference points.

The stock was last assessed in 2020 (Vincent et al., 2020). The assessment indicates that SSB is above the limit and target reference points. Therefore, the stocks pass category C.

The peer review supports the auditor's recommendation to pass the WCPO yellowfin tuna purse seine, longline, pole & line, handline fisheries under the Marin Trust IFFO RS v2.0 by-fishery standard for the production of fishmeal and fish oil.

Notes for On-site Auditor		



# **Species Categorisation**

**NB:** If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

#### **IUCN Red list Category**

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

# **Table 3 Species Categorisation Table**

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category <sup>1</sup>	CITES Appendix 1 <sup>2</sup>
Yellowfin tuna	Thunnus albacares	WCPO Yellowfin	Yes	С	Least Concern <sup>3</sup>	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/21857/46624561



### **CATEGORY C SPECIES**


In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

Spe	ecies	Name	Yellowfin tuna	
<b>C1</b>	Catego	ory C Stock Sta	atus - Minimum Requirements	
CI	C1.1	-	ovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	PASS
	C1.2	reference po	is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific to be negligible.	PASS
			Clause outcome:	PASS

C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

Western and Central Pacific Ocean (WCPO) yellowfin tuna is subject to regular stock assessments by the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC). The most recent stock assessment was conducted in 2020 and utilised all available catch data, as summarised in the graph below. 72 models were used to provide a range of potential outcomes based on different key variables, a process which reduces the inherent level of uncertainty. Fishery removals are considered in the assessment process and the outcomes are considered reliable, C1.1 is met.




Time series of total annual catch (1000s mt) by fishing gear of WCPO yellowfin tuna (WCPFC 2021)

C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

The 2020 stock assessment produced a series of estimates of the current status of the stock relative to the target reference point  $B_{MSY}$ . Biomass in 2018 was estimated to be between 1.67 and 3.29 times larger than  $B_{MSY}$  with an 80% certainty; none of the



model results indicated that biomass was below B<sub>MSY</sub>. Biomass is estimated by the most recent stock assessment to be above the target reference point with a high degree of certainty, and therefore also above any potential limit reference point. C1.2 is met.



Kobe plot for WCPO yellowfin tuna showing the outcomes of the various stock assessment models, with the brown triangle showing the median (WCPFC 2021).

#### References

WCPFC (2021). WCPO Yellowfin Tuna Stock Status and Management Advice.

https://www.wcpfc.int/file/588254/download?token=jcp-yYHK

Links	
MarinTrust Standard clause	1.3.2.2
FAO CCRF	7.5.3
GSSI	D.3.04, D5.01



# **CATEGORY D SPECIES**

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

D1	Species Name		n/a	
	Productivity Attribut	:e	Value	Score
	Average age at maturity (years)			
	Average maximum age (years)			
	Fecundity (eggs/spawning)			
	Average maximum size (cm)			
	Average size at maturity (cm)			
	Reproductive strategy			
	Mean trophic level			
			Average Productivity Score	
	Susceptibility Attribu	te	Value	Score
	Availability (area overlap)			
	Encounterability (the position of the s	•		
	within the water column relative to the	ne fishing gear)		
	Selectivity of gear type			
	Post-capture mortality			
			Average Susceptibility Score	
			PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)	
			Compliance rating	
	Further justification for susceptibility		-	
	For susceptibility attributes, please pr	ovide a brief ration	ale for scoring of parameters wher	e there may be
	uncertainty affecting your decision			
Refere	ences			
Stando	ard clauses 1 3 2 2			



# Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	High productivity (Low risk, score = 1)	Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2)	Low productivity (high risk, score = 3)
Average age at maturity	<5 years	5-15 years	>15 years
Average maximum age	<10 years	10-25 years	>25 years
Fecundity	>20,000 eggs per year	100-20,000 eggs per year	<100 eggs per year
Average maximum size	<100 cm	100-300 cm	>300 cm
Average size at maturity	<40 cm	40-200 cm	>200 cm
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	Demersal egg layer	Live bearer
Mean Trophic Level	<2.75	2.75-3.25	>3.25

Susceptibility attributes		ow susceptibility ow risk, score = 1)		edium susceptibility nedium risk, score = 2)		High susceptibility (high risk, score = 3)	
Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range	<10% overlap		10	10-30% overlap		>30% overlap	
Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear	fis	w overlap with hing gear (low counterability).	Medium overlap with fishing gencounter). fishing gear. fishing gear.		igh overlap with hing gear (high neounterability). efault score for rget species		
Selectivity of gear type	а	Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught	а	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught.	а	Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught	
Potential of the gear to retain species	b	Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	Ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	b	Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear.	
Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival	re	ridence of majority eased post-capture d survival.	rel	idence of some eased post-capture d survival.	m	etained species or ajority dead when leased.	



D3		Average Susceptibility Score			
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3	
Average Productivity	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS	
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

<b>D4</b>	Species Name							
	Impac	ts On Species Categorise	ed as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements					
	D4.1	D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management						
		process, and reasonab	le measures are taken to minimise these impacts.					
	D4.2	There is no substantia species.	al evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the					
			Outcome:					
Eviden	ice							
D4.2 T	here is r	no substantial evidence	that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.					
Refere	ences							
Links								
Marin <sup>*</sup>	Trust Sta	andard clause	1.3.2.2, 4.1.4					
FAO C	CRF		7.5.1					

D.5.01

GSSI