

**IFFO RS** Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients

## **IFFO RS Limited**

T: +44 (0) 2030 539 195 E: Standards@iffors.com W: www.iffors.com

Unit C, Printworks | 22 Amelia Street London, SE17 3BZ | United Kingdom





**Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients** Fishery Assessment Methodology and Template Report V2.0



**IFFO RS** Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients



Fishery Under Assessment	Tusk (Brosme brosme) North East Atlantic
Date	November 2019
Assessor	Jim Daly

Application details and summary of the assessment outcome									
Name: Pelagia (Grimsby)									
Address:									
Country: UK, Irelar	d Zip:								
Tel. No.:	Fax. No.:								
Email address:		<b>Applicant Code:</b>							
Key Contact:		Title:							
<b>Certification Body I</b>	Details	-							
Name of Certification	on Body:	SAI Global Ltd							
Assessor	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	, Initial/Surveillance/Re- Whole fish approval product						
Jim Daly	Conor Donnelly	0.5	0.5 Surveillance 1 By-product						
Assessment Period	Assessment Period 2019								

Scop	e Details					
Mana	agement Authority (Country/State)	EU/Common Fisheries Policy; Norway, Faroe Islands				
Main	1 Species	Tusk (Brosme brosme)				
Stock	ς:	Tusk ( <i>Brosme brosme</i> ) in subareas 4 and 7–9, and in divisions 3.a, 5.b, 6.a, and 12.b (Northeast Atlantic)				
Fishe	ery Location	North East Atlantic				
Gear	Type(s)	Trawl, gillnet, longline (bycatch in many areas)				
Outc	ome of Assessment					
Over	all Outcomes:	Outcome	Clause(s) failed			
1Tusk (Brosme brosme) Northeast Atlantic		PASS	NONE			
Peer Review Evaluation:		Agree				
Reco	mmendation:	PASS				

#### **Assessment Determination**

If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it cannot be approved for use as an IFFO-RS raw material. Tusk (*Brosme brosme*) does not appear as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List and is currently listed as a species of least concern. Tusk (*Brosme brosme*) does not appear in the current CITES appendices, therefore the species is eligible for approval for use as IFFO-RS by-product raw material.

One stock forms part of this assessment:

1) Tusk (Brosme brosme) in subareas 4 and 7-9, and in divisions 3.a, 5.b, 6.a, and 12.b (Northeast Atlantic)

Fishery removals of the stock are considered in the various stock assessment processes, therefore the stock **PASSES** Clause C1.1.

For the stock in the assessment area the most recent estimated spawning stock biomass (SSB) is estimated to be above MSY Btrigger proxy, therefore the stock **PASSES** Clause C1.2.

In order to be approved, each stock assessed must pass both Clause C1.1 and C1.2; therefore:

Tusk (*Brosme brosme*) in subareas 4 and 7-9, and in divisions 3.a, 5.b, 6.a, and 12.b (Northeast Atlantic) is APPROVED for use as by-product material under the current IFFO-RS Standard for Category C species.

**Peer Review Comments** 

Agree

**Notes for On-site Auditor** 

## HOW TO COMPLETE THIS ASSESSMENT REPORT

### **By-products**

The process for completing the template for **by-product raw material** is as follows:

- 1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table with the names of the by-product species and stocks under assessment. The '% landings' column can be left empty; all by-products are considered as Category C and D.
- 2. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C by-product.
- 3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete Section D.
- 4. ALL OTHER SECTIONS CAN BE DELETED. Clauses M1 M3, F1 F3, and Sections A and B do not need to be completed for a by-product assessment.

By-product approval is awarded on a species-by-species basis. Each by-product species scoring a pass under the appropriate section may be approved against the IFFO RS Standard.

## SPECIES CATEGORISATION

The following table should be completed as fully as the available information permits. Any species representing more than 0.1% of the annual catch should be listed, along with an estimate of the proportion of the catch each species represents. The species should then be divided into Type 1 and Type 2 as follows:

- **Type 1 Species** can be considered the 'target' or 'main' species in the fishery. They make up the bulk of annual landings and are subjected to a detailed assessment.
- **Type 2 Species** can be considered the 'bycatch' or 'minor' species in the fishery. They make up a small proportion of the annual landings and are subjected to relatively high-level assessment.

# Type 1 Species must represent 95% of the total annual catch. Type 2 Species may represent a maximum of 5% of the annual catch (see Appendix B).

Species which make up less than 0.1% of landings do not need to be listed (NOTE: ETP species are considered separately). The table should be extended if more space is needed. Discarded species should be included when known.

The 'stock' column should be used to differentiate when there are multiple biological or management stocks of one species captured by the fishery. The 'management' column should be used to indicate whether there is an adequate management regime specifically aimed at the individual species/stock. In some cases, it will be immediately clear whether there is a species-specific management regime in place (for example, if there is an annual TAC). In less clear circumstances, the rule of thumb should be that if the species meets the minimum requirements of clauses A1-A4, an adequate species-specific management regime is in place.

NOTE: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it **cannot** be approved for use as an IFFO RS raw material. This applied to whole fish as well as by-products.

### TYPE 1 SPECIES (Representing 95% of the catch or more)

**Category A:** Species-specific management regime in place. **Category B:** No species-specific management regime in place.

### TYPE 2 SPECIES (Representing 5% OF THE CATCH OR LESS)

**Category C:** Species-specific management regime in place. **Category D:** No species-specific management regime in place.

Common name	Latin name	Stock	% of landings	Management	Category
Tusk	Brosme brosme	Subareas 4 and 7-9, and in divisions 3.a, 5.b, 6.a, and 12.b (Northeast Atlantic)	N/A	EU, Norway, Faroe Islands	С

# CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a whole fish assessment, Category C species are those which make up less than 5% of landings, but which are subject to a species-specific management regime. In most cases this will be because they are a commercial target in a fishery other than the one under assessment. In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for **each** Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. A Category C species does not meet the minimum requirements of clause C1 should be re-assessed as a Category D species.

Species Name		Tusk (Brosme brosme)	
-		Status - Minimum Requirements	
C1 C1.	.1 Fishery ren included in	novals of the species in the fishery under assessment are the stock assessment process or are considered by scientific o be negligible.	PASS
C1.	biomass abo	is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a ove the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the er assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be	PASS
Clause out			PASS
C1.1 Evidence C1.1: This	assessment area	covers the North East Atlantic (Figure 1):	
45°	Mantic Ocean	Arctic Ocean   Banta     Banta   Battic     Battic   Battic <tr< td=""><td></td></tr<>	

Input data is derived from a CPUE trends-based assessment (ICES, 2019) that includes total catches and CPUE data from the Norwegian and Faroese longline fishery. The Norwegian longline CPUE series, based on catches when tusk is targeted, shows a positive trend from 2004 to 2011 and has been stable since.

Discards and bycatch are included in the assessment. Discarding is considered negligible. Stock indicators are derived from the SPiCT ('Stochastic Production model in Continuous Time) model. Data is collated by the ICES Working Group on the Biology and Assessment of Deep-Sea Fisheries Resources WGDEEP. Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process.

### C1.2

The ICES framework for category 3 stocks was applied. The most recent estimated spawning stock biomass (SSB) is estimated to be above MSY Btrigger proxy Figure 2 and Table 1:



Figure 2: Tusk in subareas 4 and 7-9, and in divisions 3.a, 5.b, 6.a, and 12.b. SPiCT model results used for the evaluation of the stock and exploitation status. Horizontal lines indicate reference points MSY Btrigger proxy and FMSY proxy R2

Table 1: Tusk in subareas 4 and 7-9, and in divisions 3.a, 5.b, 6.a, and 12.b. State of the stock and fishery relative to reference points R2

	Fishing pressure						Stock size				
		2016	2017	2018		2016 2017		2018			
Maximum sustainable yield	F <sub>MSY</sub> proxy	0	0	0	Below		MSY B <sub>trigger</sub> proxy	0	0	0	Above
Precautionary approach	F <sub>pa</sub> ,F <sub>lim</sub>	0	0	0	Below possible reference points		B <sub>pa</sub> ,B <sub>lim</sub>	0	0	0	Above possible reference points
Management plan	F <sub>MGT</sub>	_	_	—	Not applicable		B <sub>MGT</sub>	_	_	-	Not applicable
Qualitative evaluation	-	_	-	-	Not applicable		-	€	۲	۲	Increasing

Therefore, this stock is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above limit reference point.

**References:** 

R1 Map of North East Atlantic: <u>https://www.neafc.org/mcs</u>

**R2** ICES (2019) Tusk (Brosme brosme) in subareas 4 and 7-9, and in divisions 3.a, 5.b, 6.a, and 12.b (Northeast Atlantic)

http://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication%20Reports/Advice/2019/2019/usk.27.3a45b6a7-912b.pdf

**R3** ICES. 2019. Working Group on the Biology and Assessment of Deep-sea Fisheries Resources (WGDEEP). ICES Scientific Reports. 1:21. 988 pp. <u>http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.5262</u>.

**R4** CITES appendices: <u>http://checklist.cites.org</u> (accessed 20.11.19)

**R5** IUCN Red List: http://www.iucnredlist.org/search (accessed 20.11.19)

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2