

# **IFFO RS**



#### **IFFO RS Limited**

T: +44 (0) 2030 539 195 E: Standards@iffors.com W: www.iffors.com

Unit C, Printworks | 22 Amelia Street London, SE17 3BZ | United Kingdom





**Global Standard for Responsible Supply** of Marine Ingredients Fishery Assessment Methodology and Template Report V2.0



# IFFO RS Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients



Fishery Under Assessment	Ling ( <i>Molva molva</i> ) ICES Areas IVa-c, VIa, VIIa,b,d-h,j
Date	May 2019
Assessor	Jim Daly

Application details a	nd summary of the as	ssessment outcon	ne e	
Name: Copalis Indus	trie			
Address:				
Country: France		Zip:		
Tel. No.:		Fax. No.:		
Email address:		Applicant Cod	e	
<b>Key Contact:</b>		Title:		
Certification Body D	etails			
Name of Certification	n Body:	SAI Global Ltd	d	
Assessor Name	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance approval	e/Re- Whole fish/ By- product
Jim Daly	Virginia Polonio	0.5	SURV 2	By-product
Assessment Period	2018			

Scope Details	
Management Authority (Country/State)	EU/Common Fisheries Policy
Main Species	Ling (Molva molva)
Fishery Location	ICES Areas IVa-c, VIa, VIIa,b,d-h,j
Gear Type(s)	Longlines, trawls
Outcome of Assessment	
Overall Outcome	Pass
Clauses Failed	None
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree with the final conclussion
Recommendation	Pass

#### **Assessment Determination**

There is no specific management plan for ling in the assessment area. No biomass reference points are defined in terms of absolute values. However, regulations including minimum mesh size and restrictions on the permitted percentage of ling bycatch when targeting other, more valuable species should restrict fishing mortality. Through quota management in part of the assessment area, reasonable measures are taken to minimise the impact of the fishery on this species. There is currently no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.

Landings have been stable for the last five years, with an increase in discards in the last three years. A standardized catch per unit effort (CPUE) based on data from the Norwegian longline fleet shows a positive trend since 2004.

Using the Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) for Category D species ling is approved by the assessment team under the current IIFO-RS Standard with a medium compliance rating due to susceptibility and post-capture attributes. The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process; there is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.

Ling has not yet been assessed on the IUCN Red List; the species also does not appear on the current CITES list of endangered species (both sites accessed 22.05.19).

Ling is recommended for approval as by-product material under the IFFO RS Standard.

Peer Review Comments	
Notes for On-site Auditor	
Notes for On-site Auditor	

# Species-Specific Results

Category	Species	% landings	Outcome (Pass/Fail)	
			A1	
Cotocomi			A2	
Category A			A3	
			A4	
Category B				
Category C				
Category D	Ling (Molva molva)		D	

[List all Category A and B species. List approximate total % age of landings which are Category C and D species; these do not need to be individually named here]

# **Species Categorisation:**

Common name	Latin name	Stock	% of landings	Management	Category
Ling	Molva molva	North East		No specific	D
		Atlantic		management	
				regime in place	

#### CATEGORY D SPECIES

In a whole fish assessment, Category D species are those which make up less than 5% of landings and are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. In a by-product assessment, Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In both cases, the comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

The process for assessing Category D species involves the use of a Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) to further subdivide the species into 'Critical Risk', 'Major Risk' and 'Minor Risk' groups. If there are no Category D species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted.

Productivity and susceptibility ratings are calculated using a process derived from the APFIC document "Regional Guidelines for the Management of Tropical Trawl Fisheries, which in turn was derived from papers by Patrick *et al* (2009) and Hobday *et al* (2007). Table D1 should be completed for each Category D species as follows:

- Firstly, the best available information should be used to fill in values for each productivity and susceptibility attribute.
- Table D2 should be used to convert each attribute value into a score between 1 and 3.
- The average score for productivity attributes and the average for susceptibility attributes should be calculated.
- Table D3 should be used to determine whether the species is required to meet the requirements of Table D4. A species which does not need to meet the requirements of D4 is automatically awarded a pass.
- Table D4 should be used to assess those species indicated by Table D3 to determine a pass/fail rating.
- Any Category D species which has been categorised by the IUCN Red List as Endangered or Critically Endangered, or which appears in the CITES appendices, automatically results in a fail.

Version No.: 2.0 Date: July 2017 Page 4

D1 Species Name: LING Molve	i		
<b>Productivity Attribute</b>	Value	e Score	
Average age at maturity (years)	5-6	3	
Average maximum age (years)	25	2	
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	20-60 x	$10^6$ 1	
Average maximum size (cm)	106	2	
Average size at maturity (cm)	90	2	
Reproductive strategy	Broadca	ast 1	
Mean trophic level	4.4	3	
	Average Productivity So	core 2.0	
Susceptibility Attribute	Value	e Score	
Overlap of adult species range with fisher	>50% in	area 3	
Distribution	Not score	ed if	
	overlap sc		
Habitat	Demers	sal 3	
Depth range	100-400	)m 1	
Selectivity	*Mesh s	ize 3	
	≥100m	m J	
Post-capture mortality		3	
	Average Susceptibility Score		
	PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)		
	Compliance rat	ting Medium	

# **References R1-R4:**

**R1** Fishbase Species Search:

• http://www.fishbase.org/Summary/SpeciesSummary.php?ID=33&AT=ling

\*R2 EU Technical Measures (Consolidated):

 Annex I Council Regulation (EC) No 850/98 for the conservation of fishery resources through technical measures for the protection of juveniles of marine organisms: <a href="http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:01998R0850">http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:01998R0850</a>

R3 CITES Species Endangered list: <a href="http://checklist.cites.org/#/en">http://checklist.cites.org/#/en</a>

**R4** IUCN Red list: <a href="http://www.iucnredlist.org/search">http://www.iucnredlist.org/search</a>

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2

Version No.: 2.0 Date: July 2017 Page 5

Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	Low productivity/ High risk	Medium productivity/ Medium risk	High productivity/ Low risk	
	Score 3	Score 2	Score 1	
Average age at maturity (years)	>4	2 to 4	<2	
Average maximum age (years)	>30	10 to 30	<10	
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	<1 000	1 000 to 10 000	>10 000	
Average maximum size (cm)	>150	60 to 150	<60	
Average size at maturity (cm)	>150	30 to 150	<30	
Reproductive strategy	Live bearer, mouth brooder or significant parental investment	Demersal spawner "berried"	Broadcast spawner	
Mean trophic level	>3.25	2.5-3.25	<2.5	

Susceptibility attributes		High susceptibility/ High risk	Medium susceptibility/ Medium risk	Low susceptibility/ Low risk	
		Score 3	Score 2	Score 1	
Availability 1) Overlap of adult species range with fishery		>50% of stock occurs in the area fished	Between 25% and 50% of the stock occurs in the area fished	<25% of stock occurs in the area fished	
	2) Distribution	Only in the country/ fishery	Limited range in the region	Throughout region/ global distribution	
Encounterability	1) Habitat	Habitat preference of species make it highly likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. demersal, muddy/sandy bottom)	Habitat preference of species make it moderately likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. rocky bottom/reefs)	Depth or distribution of species make it unlikely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. epi-pelagic or meso-pelagic)	
	2) Depth range	High overlap with trawl fishing gear (20 to 60 m depth)	Medium overlap with trawl fishing gear (10 to 20 m depth)	Low overlap with trawl fishing gear (0 to 10 m, >70 m depth)	
Selectivity		Species >2 times mesh size or up to 4 m length	Species 1 to 2 times mesh size or 4 to 5 m length	Species <mesh or<br="" size="">&gt;5 m length</mesh>	
Post capture mortality		Most dead or retained Trawl tow >3 hours	Alive after net hauled Trawl tow 0.5 to 3 hours	Released alive Trawl tow <0.5 hours	

**Note:** Availability 2 is only used when there is no information for Availability 1; the most conservative score between Encounterability 1 and 2 is used.

D3		Average Susceptibility Score			
		1.00 – 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3.00	
Average Productivity	1.00 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS	
Score	1.76 – 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 – 3.00	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

<b>D4</b>	Spec	cies Name LING Molva molva	
	Impa	cts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements	
	D4.1	The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.	PASS
	D4.2 There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.		PASS
Outco	me:		PASS

### **Evidence: D4.1-D4.2**

Member States of the European Union implement the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) in their waters. In force since 1983, the CFP aims to reconcile resource conservation with the preservation of income and jobs in coastal zones that offer few alternatives in terms of production or employment. It therefore covers not just resources but also markets and structures.

With regard to resource management, the CFP regulations comprise:

- A traditional management tool based on Total Allowable Catches (TACs) and quotas;
- Technical measures relating to gear or catch;
- Effort-related management, based on vessel engine power and the number of days at sea.

#### ICES Advice (2017, 2018) Northeast Atlantic and Arctic Ocean: R5-R6:

Landings have been stable for the last five years, with an increase in discards in the last three years. A standardized catch per unit effort (CPUE) based on data from the Norwegian longline fleet shows a positive trend since 2004. The index is estimated to have increased by more than 20%, and the uncertainty cap was applied in estimating the catch advice. Fishing mortality is below the proxy of the MSY reference points. The stock size relative to candidate reference points is unknown, but the stock has been increasing since 2004. Therefore, the precautionary buffer was not applied.

ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, catches should be no more than 17, 695 tonnes in each of the years 2018 and 2019. If discard rates do not change from the average of the last three years (2014-2016) this implies landings of no more than 16,793 tonnes.

The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process; there is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.

### References R5-R6

**R5** EU Fishing Quotas (2019):

Council Regulation (EU) No. 2019/124 fixing for 2019 the fishing opportunities for certain fish stocks and groups of fish stocks, applicable in Union waters and, for Union fishing vessels, in certain non-Union waters: <a href="https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32019R0124">https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32019R0124</a>

# **R6** ICES Advice Ling (2017, 2018):

• Subareas VI-IX, XII, and XIV, Divisions IIIa, IVa (Northeast Atlantic and Arctic Ocean): http://www.ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication%20Reports/Advice/2017/2017/lin.27.3a4a6-91214.pdf

Standard clause 1.3.2.2

# **SOCIAL CRITERION**

In addition to the scored criteria listed above, applicants must commit to ensuring that vessels operating in the fishery adhere to internationally recognised guidance on human rights. They must also commit to ensuring there is no use of enforced or unpaid labour in the fleet(s) operating upon the resource.

Version No.: 2.0 Date: July 2017 Page 8