

## **IFFO RS**Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients



#### **IFFO RS Limited**

T: +44 (0) 2030 539 195 E: Standards@iffors.com W: www.iffors.com

Unit C, Printworks | 22 Amelia Street London, SE17 3BZ | United Kingdom





Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients Fishery Assessment Methodology and Template Report V2.0



# **IFFO RS**Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients



Fishery Under Assessment	Japanese sardine (Etrumeus sadina synonym Etrumeus teres) FAO 77
Date	February 2019
Assessor	Virginia Polonio

Application details and summary of the assessment outcome					
Name: Sardinas de S	onora				
Address:					
Country: Mexico		Zip:			
Tel. No.:		Fax. No.:			
Email address:		Applicant Code	e		
Key Contact:		Title:			
<b>Certification Body De</b>	etails				
Name of Certification	Body:	SAI Global Ltd	l		
Assessor Name	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveilland approval	ce/Re-	Whole fish/ By- product
V. Polonio	J. Daly 1 Surveillance 1 By-product			By-product	
Assessment Period	2018				

Scope Details	
Management Authority (Country/State)	Mexico
Main Species	Japanese sardine (Etrumeus sadina synonym Etrumeus teres)
Fishery Location	FAO 77 Gulf of California
Gear Type(s)	Purse seine
Outcome of Assessment	
Overall Outcome	Pass
Clauses Failed	None
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree
Recommendation	Approve

#### **Assessment Determination**

There are no changes from the first audit. The species is still a component of the small pelagic fisheries in the Gulf of California, no direct stock assessment of this species is carried out at this stage therefore the species is analisys as a data deficient and the risk base framework is used, the Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA). The PSA score assigned allow the assessment team to approve the by-product.

Etrumeus sadina has been assessed by the IUCN and has been found to be of Least Concern.

*Etrumeus sadina* is approved by the assessment team for the production of fishmeal and fish oil under the IFFO-RS v 2.0 by-product standard.

#### **Peer Review Comments**

Agree

#### **Notes for On-site Auditor**

Note: This table should be completed for whole fish assessments only.

### **General Results**

General Clause	Outcome (Pass/Fail)
M1 - Management Framework	NA
M2 - Surveillance, Control and Enforcement	NA
F1 - Impacts on ETP Species	NA
F2 - Impacts on Habitats	NA
F3 - Ecosystem Impacts	NA

## Species-Specific Results

Category	Species	% landings	Outcome (Pass/Fail)
			A1
Catagory			A2
Category A			A3
			A4
Category B			
Category C			
Category D	Japanese sardine (Etrumeus sadina synonym Etrumeus teres)	NA	PASS

[List all Category A and B species. List approximate total % age of landings which are Category C and D species; these do not need to be individually named here]

#### HOW TO COMPLETE THIS ASSESSMENT REPORT

This assessment template uses a modular approach to assessing fisheries against the IFFO RS standard.

#### Whole Fish

The process for completing the template for a **whole fish** assessment is as follows:

- 1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table, to determine which categories of species are present in the fishery.
- 2. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete clauses M1, M2, M3: Management.
- 3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY A SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete clauses A1, A2, A3, A4 for each Category A species.
- 4. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY B SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete the Section B risk assessment for **each** Category B species.
- 5. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C species.
- 6. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete Section D.
- 7. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete clauses F1, F2, F3: Further Impacts.

A fishery must score a pass in **all applicable clauses** before approval may be recommended. To achieve a pass in a clause, the fishery/species must meet **all** of the minimum requirements.

#### **By-products**

The process for completing the template for **by-product raw material** is as follows:

- 1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table with the names of the by-product species and stocks under assessment. The '% landings' column can be left empty; all by-products are considered as Category C and D.
- 2. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C by-product.
- 3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete Section D.
- 4. ALL OTHER SECTIONS CAN BE DELETED. Clauses M1 M3, F1 F3, and Sections A and B do not need to be completed for a by-product assessment.

By-product approval is awarded on a species-by-species basis. Each by-product species scoring a pass under the appropriate section may be approved against the IFFO RS Standard.

#### SPECIES CATEGORISATION

The following table should be completed as fully as the available information permits. Any species representing more than 0.1% of the annual catch should be listed, along with an estimate of the proportion of the catch each species represents. The species should then be divided into Type 1 and Type 2 as follows:

- **Type 1 Species** can be considered the 'target' or 'main' species in the fishery. They make up the bulk of annual landings and are subjected to a detailed assessment.
- **Type 2 Species** can be considered the 'bycatch' or 'minor' species in the fishery. They make up a small proportion of the annual landings and are subjected to relatively high-level assessment.

Type 1 Species must represent 95% of the total annual catch. Type 2 Species may represent a maximum of 5% of the annual catch (see Appendix B).

Species which make up less than 0.1% of landings do not need to be listed (NOTE: ETP species are considered separately). The table should be extended if more space is needed. Discarded species should be included when known.

The 'stock' column should be used to differentiate when there are multiple biological or management stocks of one species captured by the fishery. The 'management' column should be used to indicate whether there is an adequate management regime specifically aimed at the individual species/stock. In some cases it will be immediately clear whether there is a species-specific management regime in place (for example, if there is an annual TAC). In less clear circumstances, the rule of thumb should be that if the species meets the minimum requirements of clauses A1-A4, an adequate species-specific management regime is in place.

NOTE: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it **cannot** be approved for use as an IFFO RS raw material. This applied to whole fish as well as by-products.

#### **TYPE 1 SPECIES (Representing 95% of the catch or more)**

Category A: Species-specific management regime in place.

Category B: No species-specific management regime in place.

#### **TYPE 2 SPECIES (Representing 5% OF THE CATCH OR LESS)**

Category C: Species-specific management regime in place.

Category D: No species-specific management regime in place.

Common name	Latin name	Stock	% of landings	Manageme nt	Category
Japanese sardine	Etrumeus sadina	FAO 77	N/A	Mexico	D

#### **CATEGORY D SPECIES**

In a whole fish assessment, Category D species are those which make up less than 5% of landings and are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. In a by-product assessment, Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In both cases, the comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

The process for assessing Category D species involves the use of a Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) to further subdivide the species into 'Critical Risk', 'Major Risk' and 'Minor Risk' groups. If there are no Category D species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted.

Productivity and susceptibility ratings are calculated using a process derived from the APFIC document "Regional Guidelines for the Management of Tropical Trawl Fisheries, which in turn was derived from papers by Patrick *et al* (2009) and Hobday *et al* (2007). Table D1 should be completed for each Category D species as follows:

- Firstly, the best available information should be used to fill in values for each productivity and susceptibility attribute.
- Table D2 should be used to convert each attribute value into a score between 1 and 3.
- The average score for productivity attributes and the average for susceptibility attributes should be calculated.
- Table D3 should be used to determine whether the species is required to meet the requirements of Table D4. A species which does not need to meet the requirements of D4 is automatically awarded a pass.
- Table D4 should be used to assess those species indicated by Table D3 to determine a pass/fail rating.
- Any Category D species which has been categorised by the IUCN Red List as Endangered or Critically Endangered, or which appears in the CITES appendices, automatically results in a fail.

<b>Species Name:</b>	Japanese sardine Etrumeus sadir	na		
Productivity Attribute		Value	Score	
Average age at maturity (ye	ears)		0.5	1
Average maximum age (year	Average maximum age (years)			
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)			NA	-
Average maximum size (cn	1)		33	1
Average size at maturity (cr	m)		22	1
Reproductive strategy			Oviparous,	1
			pelagic eggs &	
			larvae	
Mean trophic level			3.6	3
	Averag	Average Productivity Score		1.33
<b>Susceptibility Attribute</b>			Value	Score
O1		<25% occurs in area		
Overlap of adult species ran	nge with fishery	<259		1
Distribution	nge with fishery		% occurs in area fished bal distribution	1
	nge with fishery	Glo	fished	1 3
Distribution Habitat	nge with fishery	Glo	fished bal distribution	1
Distribution	nge with fishery	Glo Po	fished bal distribution elagic- neritic	1 3
Distribution Habitat Depth range	nge with fishery	Glo Pe	fished bal distribution elagic- neritic 0-125m	1 3 3
Distribution Habitat Depth range Selectivity		Glo Pe	fished bal distribution elagic- neritic 0-125m times mesh size	1 3 3 2 3
Distribution Habitat Depth range Selectivity	Averag	Glo Po  1-2 R ge Suso	fished bal distribution elagic- neritic 0-125m times mesh size etained, dead	1 3 3 2

- **R1** IUCN Red List <a href="http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/82626288/0">http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/82626288/0</a>
- R2 Fish Base <a href="http://www.fishbase.se/summary/Etrumeus-sadina.html">http://www.fishbase.se/summary/Etrumeus-sadina.html</a>

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2

Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	Low productivity/ High risk	Medium productivity/ Medium risk	High productivity/ Low risk
	Score 3	Score 2	Score 1
Average age at maturity (years)	>4	2 to 4	<2
Average maximum age (years)	>30	10 to 30	<10
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	<1 000	1 000 to 10 000	>10 000
Average maximum size (cm)	>150	60 to 150	<60
Average size at maturity (cm)	>150	30 to 150	<30
Reproductive strategy	Live bearer, mouth brooder or significant parental investment	Demersal spawner "berried"	Broadcast spawner
Mean trophic level	>3.25	2.5-3.25	<2.5

Susceptibility attributes		High susceptibility/ High risk	Medium susceptibility/ Medium risk	Low susceptibility/ Low risk
		Score 3	Score 2	Score 1
Availability	Overlap of adult species range with fishery	>50% of stock occurs in the area fished	Between 25% and 50% of the stock occurs in the area fished	<25% of stock occurs in the area fished
	2) Distribution	Only in the country/ fishery	Limited range in the region	Throughout region/ global distribution
Encounterability	1) Habitat	Habitat preference of species make it highly likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. demersal, muddy/sandy bottom)	Habitat preference of species make it moderately likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. rocky bottom/reefs)	Depth or distribution of species make it unlikely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. epi-pelagic or meso-pelagic)
	2) Depth range	High overlap with trawl fishing gear (20 to 60 m depth)	Medium overlap with trawl fishing gear (10 to 20 m depth)	Low overlap with trawl fishing gear (0 to 10 m, >70 m depth)
Selectivity		Species >2 times mesh size or up to 4 m length	Species 1 to 2 times mesh size or 4 to 5 m length	Species <mesh or<br="" size="">&gt;5 m length</mesh>
Post capture mortality		Most dead or retained Trawl tow >3 hours	Alive after net hauled Trawl tow 0.5 to 3 hours	Released alive Trawl tow <0.5 hours

**Note:** Availability 2 is only used when there is no information for Availability 1; the most conservative score between Encounterability 1 and 2 is used.

D3		Average Susceptibility Score			
D3		1.00 – 1.75	1.76 – 2.24	2.25 - 3.00	
Average Productivity			PASS	PASS	
Score	1.76 – 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 – 3.00	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

<b>D4</b>	Spec	cies Name						
	Impa	Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements						
	D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.							
	D4.2	There is no substant	ial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on					
		the species.						
			Outcome:					
Evide								
Refer	ences							
Stande	ard clau	ise 1.3.2.2						

#### **SOCIAL CRITERION**

In addition to the scored criteria listed above, applicants must commit to ensuring that vessels operating in the fishery adhere to internationally recognised guidance on human rights. They must also commit to ensuring there is no use of enforced or unpaid labour in the fleet(s) operating upon the resource.