



RESPONSIBLE  
SUPPLY

**IFFO RS**  
Global Standard for Responsible Supply  
of Marine Ingredients

## IFFO RS Limited

**T:** +44 (0) 2030 539 195

**E:** Standards@iffors.com

**W:** www.iffors.com

Unit C, Printworks | 22 Amelia Street  
London, SE17 3BZ | United Kingdom



# Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients Fishery Assessment Methodology and Template Report V2.0



RESPONSIBLE  
SUPPLY

**IFFO RS**  
Global Standard for Responsible Supply  
of Marine Ingredients



<b>Fishery Under Assessment</b>	<b>Frigate tuna</b> <i>Auxis thazard</i>
<b>Date</b>	<b>April 2019</b>
<b>Assessor</b>	<b>Jim Daly</b>

<b>Application details and summary of the assessment outcome</b>				
<b>Name:</b> Southeast Asian Packaging and Canning Ltd and others				
<b>Address:</b>				
<b>Country:</b> Thailand		<b>Zip:</b>		
<b>Tel. No.:</b>		<b>Fax. No.:</b>		
<b>Email address:</b>		<b>Applicant Code</b>		
<b>Key Contact:</b>		<b>Title:</b>		
<b>Certification Body Details</b>				
<b>Name of Certification Body:</b>		SAI Global Ltd		
<b>Assessor Name</b>	<b>Peer Reviewer</b>	<b>Assessment Days</b>	<b>Initial/Surveillance/Re-approval</b>	<b>Whole fish/ By-product</b>
Jim Daly	Vito Romito	0.5	SURV 2	By-product
<b>Assessment Period</b>	2018			

Scope Details	
Management Authority (Country/State)	Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) and Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC) and signatory countries
Main Species	Frigate Tuna <i>Auxis thazard</i>
Fishery Location	FAO Fishing Areas 57 and 71 (Eastern Indian Ocean and Western Central Pacific Ocean)
Gear Type(s)	Purse Seine, gillnet and lines
Outcome of Assessment	
Overall Outcome	PASS
Clauses Failed	NONE
Peer Review Evaluation	Approve
Recommendation	Pass

Assessment Determination
<p>No quantitative stock assessment is currently available for frigate tuna in the Indian Ocean; due to a lack of fishery data for several gears, only preliminary stock status indicators can be used.</p> <p>Aspects of the fisheries for frigate tuna combined with the lack of data on which to base an assessment of the stock are a cause for concern. Stock status in relation to the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission's (IOTC) BMSY and FMSY reference points remains unknown. The IOTC has not adopted limit reference points for any of the neritic tunas under its mandate.</p> <p>Frigate tuna is not currently assessed by the Western and Central Pacific Fish Commission (WCPFC) (website accessed 30.04.19).</p> <p>The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population in the assessment area means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken. The fishery was assessed using the risk-based Productivity, Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) as per IFFO-RS v 2.0 procedures for Category D species. The species has passed this risk-based assessment (<b>Table D1</b>).</p> <p>Frigate tuna has been assessed as a species of least concern (IUCN Red List) and is not on the current list of CITES endangered species (websites accessed 30.04.19).</p> <p>Frigate tuna is approved by the assessment team for the production of fishmeal and fish oil under the IFFO-RS v 2.0 by-products standard.</p>
Peer Review Comments
<p>The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population in the assessment area means that the fishery was assessed using the risk-based PSA method as per IFFO-RS v 2.0 procedures for Category D species. The species has passed this risk-based assessment.</p> <p>The Peer Reviewer agrees that Frigate tuna should be approved for the production of fishmeal and fish oil under the IFFO-RS v 2.0 by-products standard.</p>

## Notes for On-site Auditor

Note: This table should be completed for whole fish assessments only.

## Species-Specific Results

Category	Species	% landings	Outcome (Pass/Fail)	
Category A			A1	
			A2	
			A3	
			A4	
Category B				
Category C				
Category D	Frigate tuna	No data	<b>PASS</b>	

[List all Category A and B species. List approximate total %age of landings which are Category C and D species; these do not need to be individually named here]

## HOW TO COMPLETE THIS ASSESSMENT REPORT

This assessment template uses a modular approach to assessing fisheries against the IFFO RS standard.

### Whole Fish

The process for completing the template for a **whole fish** assessment is as follows:

1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table, to determine which categories of species are present in the fishery.
2. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete clauses M1, M2, M3: Management.
3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY A SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete clauses A1, A2, A3, A4 for **each** Category A species.
4. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY B SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete the Section B risk assessment for **each** Category B species.
5. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C species.
6. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete Section D.
7. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete clauses F1, F2, F3: Further Impacts.

A fishery must score a pass in **all applicable clauses** before approval may be recommended. To achieve a pass in a clause, the fishery/species must meet **all** of the minimum requirements.

### By-products

The process for completing the template for **by-product raw material** is as follows:

1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table with the names of the by-product species and stocks under assessment. The ‘% landings’ column can be left empty; all by-products are considered as Category C and D.
2. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C by-product.
3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete Section D.
4. ALL OTHER SECTIONS CAN BE DELETED. Clauses M1 - M3, F1 - F3, and Sections A and B do not need to be completed for a by-product assessment.

By-product approval is awarded on a species-by-species basis. Each by-product species scoring a pass under the appropriate section may be approved against the IFFO RS Standard.

## SPECIES CATEGORISATION

The following table should be completed as fully as the available information permits. Any species representing more than 0.1% of the annual catch should be listed, along with an estimate of the proportion of the catch each species represents. The species should then be divided into Type 1 and Type 2 as follows:

- **Type 1 Species** can be considered the ‘target’ or ‘main’ species in the fishery. They make up the bulk of annual landings and are subjected to a detailed assessment.
- **Type 2 Species** can be considered the ‘bycatch’ or ‘minor’ species in the fishery. They make up a small proportion of the annual landings and are subjected to relatively high-level assessment.

**Type 1 Species must represent 95% of the total annual catch. Type 2 Species may represent a maximum of 5% of the annual catch (see Appendix B).**

Species which make up less than 0.1% of landings do not need to be listed (NOTE: ETP species are considered separately). The table should be extended if more space is needed. Discarded species should be included when known.

The ‘stock’ column should be used to differentiate when there are multiple biological or management stocks of one species captured by the fishery. The ‘management’ column should be used to indicate whether there is an adequate management regime specifically aimed at the individual species/stock. In some cases it will be immediately clear whether there is a species-specific management regime in place (for example, if there is an annual TAC). In less clear circumstances, the rule of thumb should be that if the species meets the minimum requirements of clauses A1-A4, an adequate species-specific management regime is in place.

NOTE: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it **cannot** be approved for use as an IFFO RS raw material. This applied to whole fish as well as by-products.

### TYPE 1 SPECIES (Representing 95% of the catch or more)

**Category A:** Species-specific management regime in place.

**Category B:** No species-specific management regime in place.

### TYPE 2 SPECIES (Representing 5% OF THE CATCH OR LESS)

**Category C:** Species-specific management regime in place.

**Category D:** No species-specific management regime in place.

Common name	Latin name	Stock	% of landings	Management	Category
Frigate Tuna	<i>Auxis thazard</i>	Eastern Indian Ocean, Western Central Pacific Ocean	No data	No species specific management regime	D

## CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a whole fish assessment, Category C species are those which make up less than 5% of landings, but which are subject to a species-specific management regime. In most cases this will be because they are a commercial target in a fishery other than the one under assessment. In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime, and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for **each** Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. A Category C species does not meet the minimum requirements of clause C1 should be re-assessed as a Category D species.

<b>Species Name</b>			
<b>C1</b>	<b>Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements</b>		
	C1.1	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
			<b>Clause outcome:</b>
<b>Evidence</b>			
<b>References</b>			
<i>Standard clauses 1.3.2.2</i>			

## CATEGORY D SPECIES

In a whole fish assessment, Category D species are those which make up less than 5% of landings and are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. In a by-product assessment, Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In both cases, the comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

The process for assessing Category D species involves the use of a Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) to further subdivide the species into 'Critical Risk', 'Major Risk' and 'Minor Risk' groups. If there are no Category D species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted.

Productivity and susceptibility ratings are calculated using a process derived from the APFIC document "Regional Guidelines for the Management of Tropical Trawl Fisheries, which in turn was derived from papers by Patrick *et al* (2009) and Hobday *et al* (2007). Table D1 should be completed for each Category D species as follows:

- Firstly, the best available information should be used to fill in values for each productivity and susceptibility attribute.
- Table D2 should be used to convert each attribute value into a score between 1 and 3.
- The average score for productivity attributes and the average for susceptibility attributes should be calculated.
- Table D3 should be used to determine whether the species is required to meet the requirements of Table D4. A species which does not need to meet the requirements of D4 is automatically awarded a pass.
- Table D4 should be used to assess those species indicated by Table D3 to determine a pass/fail rating.
- Any Category D species which has been categorised by the IUCN Red List as Endangered or Critically Endangered, or which appears in the CITES appendices, automatically results in a fail.

<b>D1</b>	<b>Species Name:</b>	<b>Frigate tuna <i>Auxis thazard</i></b>	
	<b>Productivity Attribute</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Score</b>
	Average age at maturity (years)	2 – 3 years*	1
	Average maximum age (years)	5	1
	Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	78,000 - 1.37 million	1
	Average maximum size (cm)	62 Fork Length	2
	Average size at maturity (cm)	34-37 Fork Length	2
	Reproductive strategy	Open water / substratum egg scatterers	1
	Mean trophic level	4.4	3
	<b>Average Productivity Score</b>		<b>1.71</b>
	<b>Susceptibility Attribute</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Score</b>
	Overlap of adult species range with fishery	No information	3
	Distribution	Throughout region / global distribution	NA
	Habitat	Epi-pelagic in neritic waters	1
	Depth range	50m+	3
	Selectivity	Mesh size 2.5-9cm (purse seine)	3
	Post-capture mortality	Retained	3
	<b>Average Susceptibility Score</b>		<b>2.6</b>
	<b>PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)</b>		<b>PASS</b>
	<b>References</b>		
	<b>R1</b> Indian Ocean Tuna Commission (IOTC) Executive summary Frigate tuna <a href="https://www.iotc.org/sites/default/files/documents/science/species_summaries/english/FrigateTuna2018.pdf">https://www.iotc.org/sites/default/files/documents/science/species_summaries/english/FrigateTuna2018.pdf</a>		
	<b>R2</b> Western and Central Pacific Fish Commission (WCPFC) Stock status and management advice <a href="https://www.wcpfc.int/current-stock-status-and-advice">https://www.wcpfc.int/current-stock-status-and-advice</a>		
	<b>R3</b> Fishbase Frigate tuna <a href="https://www.fishbase.de/Summary/SpeciesSummary.php?ID=94&amp;AT=frigate+tuna">https://www.fishbase.de/Summary/SpeciesSummary.php?ID=94&amp;AT=frigate+tuna</a>		
	<b>R4</b> *Based on average estimated length-age relationships in the equatorial Atlantic of 22.9 cm at 1 year, 30.4 cm at 2 years, 36.7 cm at 3 years and 40.4 cm at 4 years from Grudtsev and Korolevich (1986): Studies of frigate tuna <i>Auxis thazard</i> (Lacepede) age and growth in the eastern part of the Equatorial Atlantic. Col. Vol. Sci. Pap. ICCAT, 25(2), 269-274.		
	<i>Standard clauses 1.3.2.2</i>		

**Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.**



Productivity attributes	Low productivity/ High risk	Medium productivity/ Medium risk	High productivity/ Low risk
	Score 3	Score 2	Score 1
Average age at maturity (years)	>4	2 to 4	<2
Average maximum age (years)	>30	10 to 30	<10
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	<1 000	1 000 to 10 000	>10 000
Average maximum size (cm)	>150	60 to 150	<60
Average size at maturity (cm)	>150	30 to 150	<30
Reproductive strategy	Live bearer, mouth brooder or significant parental investment	Demersal spawner "berried"	Broadcast spawner
Mean trophic level	>3.25	2.5–3.25	<2.5

Susceptibility attributes		High susceptibility/ High risk	Medium susceptibility/ Medium risk	Low susceptibility/ Low risk
		Score 3	Score 2	Score 1
Availability	1) Overlap of adult species range with fishery	>50% of stock occurs in the area fished	Between 25% and 50% of the stock occurs in the area fished	<25% of stock occurs in the area fished
	2) Distribution	Only in the country/ fishery	Limited range in the region	Throughout region/ global distribution
Encounterability	1) Habitat	Habitat preference of species make it highly likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. demersal, muddy/sandy bottom)	Habitat preference of species make it moderately likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. rocky bottom/reefs)	Depth or distribution of species make it unlikely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. epi-pelagic or meso-pelagic)
	2) Depth range	High overlap with trawl fishing gear (20 to 60 m depth)	Medium overlap with trawl fishing gear (10 to 20 m depth)	Low overlap with trawl fishing gear (0 to 10 m, >70 m depth)
Selectivity		Species >2 times mesh size or up to 4 m length	Species 1 to 2 times mesh size or 4 to 5 m length	Species <mesh size or >5 m length
Post capture mortality		Most dead or retained Trawl tow >3 hours	Alive after net hauled Trawl tow 0.5 to 3 hours	Released alive Trawl tow <0.5 hours

**Note:** Availability 2 is only used when there is no information for Availability 1; the most conservative score between Encounterability 1 and 2 is used.

D3			Average Susceptibility Score		
			1.00 – 1.75	1.76 – 2.24	2.25 – 3.00
Average Productivity Score	1.00 – 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS	
	1.76 – 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 – 3.00	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

D4	Species Name		
	Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements		
	D4.1	The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.	
	D4.2	There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.	
			Outcome:
Evidence			
References			
Standard clause 1.3.2.2			