



# MarinTrust Standard V2

# By-product Fishery Assessment, FRA64, Deepwater/beaked redfish (Sebastes mentella), France

#### **MarinTrust Programme**

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



# Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Deepwater/beaked redfish (Sebastes mentella)		
	Geographical area:	FAO 27, Atlantic Northeast		
Fishery Under Assessment	Country of origin of the product:	Norway		
	Stock:	ICES 1,2 (Barents Sea Subarea, Norwegian Sea, Spitzbergen, and Bear Island Subarea)		
Date	July 2023			
Report Code	FRA64			
Assessor	Blanca Gonzalez			
Country of origin of the product - PASS	Norway			
Country of origin of the product - FAIL	None			

Application details and	summary of the assess	sment outcome			
Company Name(s): Co	palis Industrie				
Country: France					
Email address:		Applicant Code:			
<b>Certification Body Deta</b>	ails				
Name of Certification I	Body:	LRQA			
		Assessment	Initial/Surveillance/		
Assessor	Peer Reviewer	Days	Re-approval		
		,			
Blanca Gonzalez	Sam Peacock	0.5	Initial		
Assessment Period	July 2023- July 2024				

Scope Details	
Main Species	Deepwater/beaked redfish (Sebastes mentella)
Stock	ICES 1,2 (Barents Sea Subarea, Norwegian Sea, Spitzbergen, and Bear Island Suabrea)
Fishery Location	FAO 27, Atlantic Northeast
Management Authority (Country/ State)	Joint Norwegian-Russian Fisheries Commission
Gear Type(s)	Pelagic trawl, demersal trawls
Outcome of Assessment	
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree with recommendation
Recommendation	Approve



### Table 2. Assessment Determination

#### **Assessment Determination**

Beaked redfish (Sebastes mentella) was assessed as a category C species considering that it is a Least Concern species by the IUCN, it is not in included in any CITES Appendixes, and it has a species-specific management in place by the Joint Norwegian-Russian Fisheries Commission.

The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES) uses catch data for stock assessments and applied a precautionary approach. The last assessment for beaked redfish in Subareas 1, 2 was published in 2020. Results indicate that the spawning-stock biomass is well above MSY B<sub>trigger</sub>, B<sub>pa</sub>, and B<sub>lim</sub>.

The beaked redfish by-product meets the Marin Trust requirements; therefore, its approval is recommended for use as a raw material.

#### **Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments**

There are no concerns that requires attention from the on-site assessor.

The assessor has correctly categorised and assessed the byproduct under Category C. The stock is subject to a robust and regular stock assessment, and stock biomass is currently estimated to be well above the limit reference point level. The peer reviewer agrees that this byproduct should be approved for use as a raw material.

reference	point	level.	The	peer	reviewer	agrees	that	this	byproduct	should	be	approved	for	use	as	a r	aw
material.																	
Notes for	On-sit	e Aud	itor														



# **Species Categorisation**

**NB:** If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

#### **IUCN Red list Category**

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

# Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category <sup>1</sup>	CITES Appendix 1 <sup>2</sup>
Deepwater/beaked redfish	Sebastes mentella	ICES 1,2 (Barents Sea Subarea, Norwegian Sea, Spitzbergen, and Bear Island Subarea)	Yes	С	Least Concern <sup>3</sup>	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

<sup>3</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/154816/115238709



#### **CATEGORY C SPECIES**

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

Spe	ecies	Name	Deepwater/Beaked redfish (Sebastes mentella)	
<b>C1</b>	Catego	ory C Stock Sta	atus - Minimum Requirements	
CI	C1.1	Fishery remo	ovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment	PASS
		process, OR	are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
	C1.2	reference po	s considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit int (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific be negligible.	PASS
	•		Clause outcome:	PASS

C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

Clause is met, considering that:

The International Council for exploration of the Sea (ICES) Arctic Fisheries working group published the last stock assessment for beaked in Subareas 1, 2 in 2020. This assessment used a statistical catch-at-age model and commercial catches were considered within input data; thus, removals of the species are included in the stock assessment process (ICES 2020) (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Beaked redfish catches in Subareas 1,2 (ICES 2020).

C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

Clause is met, considering that:

The latest stock assessment indicates that spawning-stock biomass (SSB) is well above MSY B<sub>trigger</sub>, B<sub>pa</sub>, and B<sub>lim</sub>. A precautionary approach is applied. (ICES 2020) (Figure 2).






Figure 2. ICES spawning stock biomass for beaked redfish in Subareas 1,2 (ICES 2020).

#### References

ICES Advice 2020. Beaked redfish (*Sebastes mentella*) in subareas 1 and 2 (Northeast Arctic). In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2020. ICES Advice 2020 for 2022 catches, reb.27.1-2: https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.19478510

Links	
MarinTrust Standard clause	1.3.2.2
FAO CCRF	7.5.3
GSSI	D.3.04, D5.01



## **CATEGORY D SPECIES**

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

D1	<b>Species Name</b>			
	Productivity Attribut	e	Value	Score
	Average age at maturity (years)			
	Average maximum age (years)			
	Fecundity (eggs/spawning)			
	Average maximum size (cm)			
	Average size at maturity (cm)			
	Reproductive strategy			
	Mean trophic level			
			<b>Average Productivity Score</b>	
	Susceptibility Attribu	te	Value	Score
	Availability (area overlap)			
	Encounterability (the position of the s	tock/species		
	within the water column relative to the	ne fishing gear)		
	Selectivity of gear type			
	Post-capture mortality			
			Average Susceptibility Score	
		F	PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)	
			Compliance rating	
	Further justification for susceptibility For susceptibility attributes, please pr uncertainty affecting your decision			e there may be
Refere	nces			
Standa	ird clauses 1.3.2.2			



# Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	High productivity (Low risk, score = 1)	Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2)	Low productivity (high risk, score = 3)
Average age at maturity	<5 years	5-15 years	>15 years
Average maximum age	<10 years	10-25 years	>25 years
Fecundity	>20,000 eggs per year	100-20,000 eggs per year	<100 eggs per year
Average maximum size	<100 cm	100-300 cm	>300 cm
Average size at maturity	<40 cm	40-200 cm	>200 cm
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	Demersal egg layer	Live bearer
Mean Trophic Level	<2.75	2.75-3.25	>3.25

Susceptibility attributes		ow susceptibility ow risk, score = 1)		edium susceptibility nedium risk, score = 2)		igh susceptibility igh risk, score = 3)		
Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range	<10% overlap		10	10-30% overlap		>30% overlap		
Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear	fis	w overlap with hing gear (low counterability).		edium overlap with hing gear.	fis en De	gh overlap with hing gear (high counterability). efault score for rget species		
Selectivity of gear type	а	Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught	а	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught.	а	Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught		
Potential of the gear to retain species	b	Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	Ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	b	Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear.		
Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival	re	ridence of majority eased post-capture d survival.	rel	idence of some eased post-capture d survival.	m	etained species or ajority dead when leased.		



D3		Average Susceptibility	Score		
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3	
Average Productivity	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS	
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

<b>D4</b>	Spe	cies Name		
	Impac	ts On Species Categorise	d as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements	
	D4.1	The potential impacts	of the fishery on this species are considered during the management	
		process, and reasonable	e measures are taken to minimise these impacts.	
	D4.2	There is no substantia species.	I evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the	
			Outcome:	
Eviden	ice			
	-	easures are taken to min	shery on this species are considered during the management process, a imise these impacts.	ana
D4.2 T	here is r		hat the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.	
D4.2 T				
Refere Links	ences			
Refere Links	ences Trust Sta	o substantial evidence t	hat the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.	