



MarinTrust Standard V2

By-product Fishery Assessment, FRA53, Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus), France

MarinTrust Programme

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus)	
Field and Headley	Geographical area:	FAO 27, Atlantic Northeast	
Fishery Under Assessment	Country of origin of the product:	France	
	Stock:	ICES 3.c.22 – d.32 (Sound and Belt Sea Division, and Baltic Sea Division)	
Date	July 2023		
Report Code	FRA53		
Assessor	Blanca Gonzalez		
Country of origin of the product - PASS	France		
Country of origin of the product - FAIL	None		

Application details and summary of the assessment outcome							
Company Name(s): Biocerval							
Country: France							
Email address:		Applicant Code	e:				
Certification Body Deta	ails						
Name of Certification I	Body:	LRQA					
		Assessment	Initial/Surveillance/				
Assessor	Peer Reviewer	Days	Re-approval				
Blanca Gonzalez	Sam Peacock	0.5	Initial				
Assessment Period	July 2023- July 2024						

Scope Details	
Main Species	Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus)
Stock	ICES 3.c.22 – d.32 (Sound and Belt Sea Division, and Baltic Sea Division)
Fishery Location	FAO 27, Atlantic Northeast
Management Authority (Country/ State)	European Parliament and of the Council of the European Union
Gear Type(s)	Active and passive gears
Outcome of Assessment	
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree with recommendation
Recommendation	APPROVE



Table 2. Assessment Determination

Assessment Determination

Turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) was assessed as a category D species considering that it is a Least Concern species by the IUCN, it is not in included in any CITES Appendixes, there is no information available to define reference points, and there is no TAC and therefore it is not covered by the EU landing obligation (ICES 2021).

In the Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) turbot was awarded an average productivity score of 1.42 and an average susceptibility score of 2.75, and it passed against Table D3, indicating that turbot is not vulnerable to this fishery.

The turbot by-product meets the Marin Trust requirements; therefore, its approval is recommended for use as a raw material.

ICES. (2021). Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) in subdivisions 22–32 (Baltic Sea). In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2021. ICES Advice 2021, tur.27.22-32. https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.7877

Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments

The peer reviewer agrees with the categorisation of turbot in the Baltic Sea as a Category D species. The PSA has been conducted correctly and the PR agrees that the byproduct should be approved for use as a raw material.

Notes for On-site Auditor

There are no concerns that requires attention from the on-site assessor.



Species Categorisation

NB: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

IUCN Red list Category

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category ¹	CITES Appendix 1 ²
Turbot	Scophthalmus maximus	ICES 3.c.22 – d.32 (Sound and Belt Sea Division, and Baltic Sea Division)	No	D	Least concern ³	No

¹ https://www.iucnredlist.org/

² https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

³ https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/198731/144939322



CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

Spe	ecies	Name				
Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements						
C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment						
		process, OR a	are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.			
	C1.2	The species is	s considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit			
		reference po	int (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific			
		authorities to	be negligible.			
			Clause outcome:			
consid	C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
Refer	ences					
Links	•					
Marin	MarinTrust Standard clause 1.3.2.2					
FAO C	CRF		7.5.3			
GSSI			D.3.04, D5.01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		



CATEGORY D SPECIES

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

Species Name	Turbot (Scophthalmus maximu	s)
Productivity Attribut	e Value	Score
Average age at maturity (years)	3.8	1
Average maximum age (years)	16.9	2
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	8,660,240	1
Average maximum size (cm)	48.1	1
Average size at maturity (cm)	39.7	1
Reproductive strategy	spawner	1
Mean trophic level	4.4	3
	Average Productivity Score	1.42
Susceptibility Attribu	te Value	Score
Availability (area overlap)	>30% overlap	3
Encounterability (the position of the s	tock/species High overlap	3
within the water column relative to th	ne fishing gear)	5
Selectivity of gear type	Individuals < size at maturity	2
Selectivity of gear type	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught	2
Selectivity of gear type Post-capture mortality	The state of the s	3
. 5	are regularly caught	
. 5	are regularly caught Retained species	3

Further justification for susceptibility scoring (where relevant)

For susceptibility attributes, please provide a brief rationale for scoring of parameters where there may be uncertainty affecting your decision

References

Productivity attributes:

• https://www.fishbase.se/summary/SpeciesSummary.php?ID=1348&AT=turbot

Susceptibility attributes

• ICES. (2021). Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) in subdivisions 22–32 (Baltic Sea). In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2021. ICES Advice 2021, tur.27.22-32. https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.7877

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2



Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	High productivity (Low risk, score = 1)	Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2)	Low productivity (high risk, score = 3)
Average age at maturity	<5 years	5-15 years	>15 years
Average maximum age	<10 years	10-25 years	>25 years
Fecundity	>20,000 eggs per year	100-20,000 eggs per year	<100 eggs per year
Average maximum size	<100 cm	100-300 cm	>300 cm
Average size at maturity	<40 cm	40-200 cm	>200 cm
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	Demersal egg layer	Live bearer
Mean Trophic Level	<2.75	2.75-3.25	>3.25

Susceptibility attributes		ow susceptibility ow risk, score = 1)		edium susceptibility nedium risk, score = 2)		igh susceptibility igh risk, score = 3)	
Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range	<1	<10% overlap		10-30% overlap		>30% overlap	
Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear	fis	ow overlap with hing gear (low ecounterability).	Medium overlap with fishing gear.		fis en De	High overlap with fishing gear (high encounterability). Default score for target species	
Selectivity of gear type	а	Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught	а	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught.	а	Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught	
Potential of the gear to retain species	b	Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	Ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	b	Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear.	
Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival	re	ridence of majority leased post-capture d survival.	rel	ridence of some eased post-capture d survival.	m	etained species or ajority dead when leased.	



D3		Average Susceptibility Score		
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3
Average Productivity	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4

D4	Species Name								
	Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements								
	D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.								
	D4.2								
			Outcome:						
reasor	nable me	easures are taken to mir	shery on this species are considered during the management process imise these impacts. that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.	, a.i.a					
Refere	ences								
Links									
Marin [*]	Trust Sta	andard clause	1.3.2.2, 4.1.4						
FAO C	CRF	·	7.5.1						
GSSI			D.5.01						