

MarinTrust Standard V2

By-product Fishery Assessment Mackerel (Scomber scombrus) FAO 27, ICES 1-8, 9.a & 14

MarinTrust Programme Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ E: <u>standards@marin-trust.com</u> T: +44 2039 780 819



Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Mackerel (Scomber scombrus)
Fishery Under	Geographical area:	FAO 27, ICES Subareas 1-8 and 14, and Division 9a
Assessment	Country of origin of the product:	France
	Stock:	Northeast Atlantic and adjacent waters
Date	February 2024	
Report Code	FRA02	
Assessor	Blanca Gonzalez	
Country of origin of the product - PASS	France	
Country of origin of the product - FAIL	None	

Application details and	l summary of the assess	sment outcome					
Company Name(s): Copalis Industrie							
Country: France							
Email address:		Applicant Code	e:				
Certification Body Details							
Name of Certification Body:		LRQA					
Assessor	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/ Re-approval				
Blanca Gonzalez	Sam Peacock	0.5	Surveillance 1				
Assessment Period	February 2024 – Febru	iary 2025	·				

Scope Details	
Main Species	Mackerel (Scomber scombrus)
Stock	Northeast Atlantic and adjacent waters
Fishery Location	FAO 27, ICES Subareas 1-8 and 14, and Division 9a
Management Authority (Country/ State)	EU, UK, Norway
Gear Type(s)	Pelagic trawl, purse seine, others
Outcome of Assessment	
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree with recommendation
Recommendation	PASS

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



Table 2. Assessment Determination

Assessment Determination

Mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) was assessed as a category C species considering that it is a Least Concern species by the IUCN, it is not in included in any CITES Appendixes, and the stock is managed using annual quotas relative to established reference points.

Mackerel is subject to annual stock assessment by ICES working group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE). The last assessment was published in September 2023 using catches data in the model and in the forecast. Fishing pressure on the stock is above F_{MSY} but below F_{pa} and F_{lim} ; spawning-stock size is above MSY $B_{trigger}$, B_{pa} , and B_{lim} . ICES advises that when the MSY approach is applied, catches in 2024 should be no more than 739 386 tonnes.

The mackerel by-product meets the Marin Trust requirements and it should remain approved for use as a raw material.

Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments

Mackerel in the North-East Atlantic has been correctly assessed as a Category C species. The 2023 ICES assessment for the stock was scientifically robust and concluded that SSB is above the limit and target reference points, meeting the requirements of C1.1 and C1.2. The peer reviewer agrees that this raw material continues to meet the MT requirements, and should remain approved for use in the manufacturing of MT-certified products.

Notes for On-site Auditor



Species Categorisation

NB: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

IUCN Red list Category

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category ¹	CITES Appendix 1 ²
Mackerel	Scomber	Northeast	Yes	С	Least Concern ³	No
	scombrus	Atlantic and				
		adjacent waters				

¹ <u>https://www.iucnredlist.org/</u>

2	https://	/cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php	
	110003.77		

³ https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/170354/170089639

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted

[©] Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

Spe	cies	Name	Mackerel (Scomber scombrus)	
C1	Catego	ory C Stock Sta	atus - Minimum Requirements	
CT	C1.1	Fishery remo	ovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment	PASS
		process, OR	are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
	C1.2	The species i	s considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit	PASS
		reference po	int (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific	
		authorities to	o be negligible.	
			Clause outcome:	PASS
C1 1 F	ichory I	removals of th	he species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment proce	ss OR are

C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

The clause is met considering that:

The Mackerel in the Northeast Atlantic and adjacent waters most recent assessment was published in September 2023 by The International Council for exploration of the Sea (ICES) Working Group on Widely Distributed Stocks (WGWIDE). The assessment was carried out using an Age-based analytical model (SAM) that uses catches data, steel tagging data, RFID tagging data and surveys indices in the model and in the forecast; thus, removals of the species are included in the stock assessment process (ICES 2023) (figure 1).






Figure 1. Mackerel catches in subareas 1–8 and 14, and in Division 9.a since 1980. Catches prior to 2000 have been down-weighted in the assessment because of the considerable underreporting suspected to have taken place in this period. (ICES 2023).

C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

The Clause is met considering that:

The 2023 Mackerel assessment indicates that fishing pressure on the stock is above F_{MSY} but below F_{pa} and F_{lim} (figure 1), and spawning-stock size is above MSY $B_{trigger}$, B_{pa} , and B_{lim} (figure 2). The catch advice is that when the MSY approach is applied, catches in 2024 should be no more than 739,389 tonnes. (ICES 2023).

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted

© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only






Figure 1. Mackerel in subareas 1–8 and 14, and in Division 9.a fishing pressure above F_{MSY} but below F_{pa} and $F_{lim}\,$ (ICES 2023).

Figure 2. Spawning-stock size above MSY $B_{trigger},\,B_{pa},$ and B_{lim} for Mackerel in subareas 1–8 and 14, and in Division 9.a (ICES 2023)

References

0

1983

1993

R.

···· B_{pd}

2003

MSY B

2013

95%

ICES (2023). Mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) in subareas 1–8 and 14, and in Division 9.a (Northeast Atlantic and adjacent waters). ICES Advice: Recurrent Advice. Report. <u>https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.21856533.v1</u>

2023

Links	
MarinTrust Standard clause	1.3.2.2
FAO CCRF	7.5.3
GSSI	D.3.04, D5.01



CATEGORY D SPECIES

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

Species Name		
Productivity Attribute	Value	Score
Average age at maturity (years)		
Average maximum age (years)		
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)		
Average maximum size (cm)		
Average size at maturity (cm)		
Reproductive strategy		
Mean trophic level		
	Average Productivity Score	
Susceptibility Attribute	Value	Score
Availability (area overlap)		
Encounterability (the position of the stock/species		
within the water column relative to the fishing gear)		
Selectivity of gear type		
Post-capture mortality		
	Average Susceptibility Score	
	PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)	
	Compliance rating	
Further justification for susceptibility scoring (where re	elevant)	
For susceptibility attributes, please provide a brief ration uncertainty affecting your decision	nale for scoring of parameters where t	there may
nces		



Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	High productivity (Low risk, score = 1)	Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2)	Low productivity (high risk, score = 3)
Average age at maturity	<5 years	5-15 years	>15 years
Average maximum age	<10 years	10-25 years	>25 years
Fecundity	>20,000 eggs per year	100-20,000 eggs per year	<100 eggs per year
Average maximum size	<100 cm	100-300 cm	>300 cm
Average size at maturity	<40 cm	40-200 cm	>200 cm
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	Demersal egg layer	Live bearer
Mean Trophic Level	<2.75	2.75-3.25	>3.25

Susceptibility attributes		ow susceptibility ow risk, score = 1)		edium susceptibility nedium risk, score = 2)		igh susceptibility igh risk, score = 3)	
Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range	<10% overlap		10	10-30% overlap		>30% overlap	
Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear	fis	w overlap with hing gear (low counterability).		edium overlap with hing gear.	fis en De	gh overlap with hing gear (high counterability). efault score for rget species	
Selectivity of gear type	а	Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught	а	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught.	a	Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught	
Potential of the gear to retain species	ь	Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear.	
Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival	re	idence of majority leased post-capture d survival.	rel	idence of some eased post-capture d survival.	m	etained species or ajority dead when leased.	

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



D3		Average Susceptibility Score			
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3	
Average Productivity Score	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS	
	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

D4	Spe	cies Name					
	Impac	s On Species Categorised a	s Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements				
	D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.						
	D4.2 There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.						
		<u> </u>	Outcome:				
	nable me	ential impacts of the fishe easures are taken to minimi	ery on this species are considered during the management process ise these impacts.	, and			
		o substantial evidence tha	t the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.				
D4.2 T Refere		o substantial evidence tha	t the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.				
		o substantial evidence tha	t the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.				
Refere Links	ences	no substantial evidence that	t the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.				
Refere Links	ences Trust Sta						