



## MarinTrust Standard V2

# By-product Fishery Assessment ESP03, Yellowfin Tuna in FAO Areas 21, 27, 31, 34, 41 & 47 (Atlantic Yellowfin)

MarinTrust Programme Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ E: <u>standards@marin-trust.com</u> T: +44 2039 780 819

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted © Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



# Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Yellowfin tuna, Thunnus albacares
	Geographical area:	FAO Areas 21, 27, 31, 34, 41 & 47
Fishery Under Assessment	Country of origin of the product:	Spain, Portugal
	Stock:	Atlantic Yellowfin
Date		April 2023
Report Code		ESP03
Assessor		Sam Peacock
Country of origin of the product - PASS		Spain, Portugal
Country of origin of the product - FAIL		None

Application details and	d summary of the asses	sment outcome			
Company Name(s): Sa	rval Bio-Industries Nor	oeste S.A.U. S./	A.U: Arteixo		
Country: Spain					
Email address:		Applicant Cod	e:		
Certification Body Det	ails				
Name of Certification	Body:		LRQA		
A	Deer Deviewer	Assessment	Initial/Surveillance/		
Assessor	Peer Reviewer	Days	Re-approval		
Sam Peacock	Sam Dignan	0.2	Re-approval		
Assessment Period		April 2023	– April 2024		

Scope Details	
Main Species	Yellowfin tuna, Thunnus albacares
Stock	Atlantic Yellowfin
Fishery Location	FAO Areas 21, 27, 31, 34, 41 & 47
Management Authority	International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas
(Country/ State)	(ICCAT)
Gear Type(s)	Purse seine, longline, pole & line, handline
Outcome of Assessment	
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree with determination.
Recommendation	Pass

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



## Table 2. Assessment Determination

#### **Assessment Determination**

Yellowfin tuna has been categorised by the IUCN as a species of Least Concern, and does not appear in the CITES appendices. Yellowfin in the Atlantic Ocean is managed by the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) relative to a target reference point ( $B_{MSY}$ ), and was therefore assessed under Category C.

The most recent stock assessment for Atlantic yellowfin was conducted in 2019 using all available catch data plus some catch estimates. The assessment concluded that stock biomass was above the target reference point, and therefore would also be above any potential limit reference point. The byproduct meets the MT requirements and should be re-approved for use as a raw material.

Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments

Agree with Assessment Determination.

Notes for On-site Auditor



## **Species Categorisation**

**NB:** If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

#### **IUCN Red list Category**

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

### Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category <sup>1</sup>	CITES Appendix 1 <sup>2</sup>
Yellowfin tuna	Thunnus albacares	Atlantic Yellowfin	Yes	С	Least Concern <sup>3</sup>	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.iucnredlist.org/</u>

<sup>2</sup> https://	/cites org/	eng/	ann/	appendices.php	
nups./	/ CILES. OI g/	Clig/	app/	appendices.php	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/21857/46624561

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



## **CATEGORY C SPECIES**

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

Spe	ecies	Name	Yellowfin Tuna	
<b>C1</b>	Categ	ory C Stock Status - Minin	num Requirements	
CI	C1.1		species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment red by scientific authorities to be negligible.	PASS
	C1.2		d, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit y), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific ble.	PASS
			Clause outcome:	PASS

C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

Management of this yellowfin tuna stock is coordinated by the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT). The most recent stock assessment carried out for this stock occurred in 2019 (ICCAT 2023). Although a proportion of the 2018 catch reports were incomplete, an average of the catch over the previous three years (2015-17) was used as a proxy (ICCAT 2019). This is adequate to meet the requirements of C1.1.

Catch data are now available up to and including 2021, and were reported as follows (ICCAT 2022, ISSF 2023):

- 2018 = 136,415t
- 2019 = 135,312t
- 2020 = 151,241t
- 2021= 110,600t

These data will be included in the upcoming 2023 stock assessment (ICCAT 2023). Fishery removals are incorporated into the stock assessment process, therefore C1.1 is met.

C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

As noted above, the most recent stock assessment was conducted in 2019 (ICCAT 2023). The yellowfin tuna stock does not have an established limit reference point. The 2019 stock assessment concluded that the biomass of the yellowfin tuna stock was above the target reference point of B<sub>MSY</sub>, and therefore it is appropriate to assume the biomass would also be above any limit reference point.

Yellowfin tuna in the Atlantic has not historically been estimated to be below the target reference point, and therefore harvest control rules (HCRs) remain under development. There is evidence that progress has been made investigating potential HCRs, and the outcomes of such investigations have informed management activity (Merino *et al* 2016).

The stock is considered in its most recent stock assessment to have a biomass above the target reference point, and therefore above any possible limit reference point. C1.2 is met.

References

ICCAT (2019). Yellowfin tuna Summary report 2019. https://www.iccat.int/Documents/SCRS/ExecSum/YFT\_ENG.pdf

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



ICCAT (2022). ICCAT Statistical bulletin Vol. 47 Section 2. https://www.iccat.int/sbull/SB47-2022/s2.html

ICCAT (2023). Stock Assessments and Executive Summaries. <u>https://www.iccat.int/en/assess.html</u>

ISSF (2023). Status of the world fisheries for tuna. Mar. 2023. ISSF Technical Report 2023-01. International Seafood Sustainability Foundation, Pittsburgh, PA, USA.

Merino, G., Murua, H., Arrizabalaga, H., Santiago, J., Ortiz de Urbina, J., Gaertner, D., Coelho, R., Davies, T. and Abaunza, P. 2016. Establishment of reference points and harvest control rules in the Framework of the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT). Specific Contract No. 8 under Framework Contract No. MARE/2012/21. Final Report. July 2016. 88 pp. <u>https://cinea.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2021-03/ReferenceICCAT-MARE2012-21.pdf</u>

Links	
MarinTrust Standard clause	1.3.2.2
FAO CCRF	7.5.3
GSSI	D.3.04, D5.01



## CATEGORY D SPECIES

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

Productivity Attribute	Value	Score
Average age at maturity (years)		
Average maximum age (years)		
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)		
Average maximum size (cm)		
Average size at maturity (cm)		
Reproductive strategy		
Mean trophic level		
	Average Productivity Score	
Susceptibility Attribute	Value	Score
Availability (area overlap)		
Encounterability (the position of the stock/species		
within the water column relative to the fishing gear)		
Selectivity of gear type		
Post-capture mortality		
	Average Susceptibility Score	
	PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)	
	Compliance rating	
<b>Further justification for susceptibility scoring (where re</b> For susceptibility attributes, please provide a brief ration uncertainty affecting your decision	-	here may b
nces		



## Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	High productivity (Low risk, score = 1)	Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2)	Low productivity (high risk, score = 3)
Average age at maturity	<5 years	5-15 years	>15 years
Average maximum age	<10 years	10-25 years	>25 years
Fecundity	>20,000 eggs per year	100-20,000 eggs per year	<100 eggs per year
Average maximum size	<100 cm	100-300 cm	>300 cm
Average size at maturity	<40 cm	40-200 cm	>200 cm
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	Demersal egg layer	Live bearer
Mean Trophic Level	<2.75	2.75-3.25	>3.25

Susceptibility attributes		ow susceptibility .ow risk, score = 1)		edium susceptibility nedium risk, score = 2)		igh susceptibility igh risk, score = 3)
Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range	<1	0% overlap	10	-30% overlap		0% overlap
Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear	fis	w overlap with hing gear (low counterability).		edium overlap with hing gear.	fis en De	gh overlap with hing gear (high counterability). efault score for rget species
Selectivity of gear type	а	Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught	а	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught.	а	Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught
Potential of the gear to retain species	ь	Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	ь	Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear.
Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival	re	vidence of majority leased post-capture d survival.	rel	idence of some eased post-capture d survival.	m	etained species or ajority dead when leased.

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | Doc FISH1- Issued October 2022 – Version 2.3 | Approved by Libby Woodhatch Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



D3		Average Susceptibility	Score	
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3
Average Productivity	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4

<b>D4</b>	Spe	cies Name	
	Impac	ts On Species Categorise	d as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements
	D4.1	The potential impacts	of the fishery on this species are considered during the management
		process, and reasonab	le measures are taken to minimise these impacts.
	D4.2	There is no substantia	I evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the
		species.	
			Outcome:
		asures are taken to min	shery on this species are considered during the management process, and imise these impacts.
D4.2 T	here is r	o substantial evidence	hat the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.
Refere		o substantial evidence	hat the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.
Refere	ences		
Refere Links Marin	ences Trust Sta	no substantial evidence	1.3.2.2, 4.1.4
Refere	ences Trust Sta		