



MarinTrust Standard V2

By-product Fishery Assessment, DNK30 Greater Weever in ICES Subareas 3 & 4

MarinTrust Programme

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Greater Weever (Trachinus draco)		
Fishery Under Assessment	Geographical area:	FAO 27, Skagerrak, Kattegat, Sound, Belt Sea, Baltic Sea, North Sea		
	Country of origin of the product:	Denmark		
	Stock:	ICES Subareas 3 & 4		
Date	November 2023			
Report Code		DNK30		
Assessor		Sam Peacock		
Country of origin of the product - PASS	Denmark			
Country of origin of the product - FAIL		n/a		

Application details and summary of the assessment outcome							
Company Name(s): Triple Nine							
Country:							
Email address: sap@m mid@maring.org	aring.org,	Applicant Code:					
Certification Body Deta	ails						
Name of Certification E	Body:	LRQA					
Assessor	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/ Re-approval				
Sam Peacock	Jose Peiro Crespo	0.2	Surveillance 2				
Assessment Period	N	November 2023	– October 2024				

Scope Details	
Main Species	Greater Weever (Trachinus draco)
Stock	ICES Subareas 3 & 4
Fishery Location	Skagerrak, Kattegat, Sound, Belt Sea, Baltic Sea, North Sea
Management Authority (Country/ State)	EU
Gear Type(s)	Bottom trawl
Outcome of Assessment	
Peer Review Evaluation	Approve
Recommendation	Approve byproduct



Table 2. Assessment Determination

Assessment Determination

Greater weever has been categorised by the IUCN Red List as Least Concern and does not appear in the CITES appendices. As at the time of the initial assessment, there is no evidence of any species-specific management of the species, nor does ICES produce any management advice or indications of stock status. Accordingly, the species was assessed under Category D.

Greater weever in ICES Subareas 3 and 4 was awarded a Productivity score of 1.5 and a Susceptibility score of 3, leading to an outcome of Pass against Table D3. For this reason, the by-product should remain approved for use as a raw material in the manufacture of MT-Certified marine ingredients.

Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments

The by-product fishery under assessment is the Greater Weever (*Trachinus draco*) bottom trawl fishery in the Atlantic Northeast (FAO 27) ICES subareas 3 and 4 (Skagerrak, Kattegat, Sound, Belt Sea, Baltic Sea and North Sea). The species is classified as LC by the IUCN in European waters. No stock assessment or reference points are in place for the stock. There it has been assessed under category D (Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA)).

In the PSA, the Greater weaver awards an average productivity score of 1.5 and an average susceptibility score of 3, and therefore it passed category D.

The peer review supports the auditor's recommendation to pass the Greater weever bottom trawl fishery in ICES subareas 3 and 4 (Skagerrak, Kattegat, Sound, Belt Sea, Baltic Sea and North Sea) (FAO area 27), under the Marin Trust IFFO RS v2.0 by-fishery standard for the production of fishmeal and fish oil.

Notes for On-site Auditor		



Species Categorisation

NB: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

IUCN Red list Category

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category ¹	CITES Appendix 1 ²
Greater weever	Trachinus draco	ICES Subareas 3 & 4	No	D	Least Concern ³	No

¹ https://www.iucnredlist.org/

² https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

³ https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/198719/45884594



CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

Spe	ecies	Name	n/a				
<u>C1</u>	Categ	ory C Stock Sta	atus - Minimum Requirements				
CI	C1.1	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
	C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.						
		•	Clause outcome:				
	-		ered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	point (o			
Refer	ences						
Links							
Marir	nTrust S	tandard clause	1.3.2.2				
FAO (CCRF		7.5.3				
GSSI			D.3.04, D5.01				




CATEGORY D SPECIES

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

D1	Species Name	Greater Weever					
	Productivity Attribute	Value	Score				
	Average age at maturity (years)	4 years	1				
	Average maximum age (years)	15.7 years	2				
	Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	Unknown					
	Average maximum size (cm)	53cm	1				
	Average size at maturity (cm)	19.1cm	1				
	Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	1				
	Mean trophic level	4.2	3				
		Average Productivity Score	1.5				
	Susceptibility Attribute	Value	Score				
	Availability (area overlap)	>30%	3				
	Encounterability (the position of the stock/sp the water column relative to the fishing gear)	High overlan (nottom trawis)	3				
	Selectivity of gear type	Retained	3				
	Post-capture mortality	Retained	3				
		Average Susceptibility Score	3				
		PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)	PASS				
		Compliance rating	PASS				
	Further justification for susceptibility scoring (where relevant) For susceptibility attributes, please provide a brief rationale for scoring of parameters where there may affecting your decision						





Computer-generated distribution map for Greater Weever. From Fishbase, https://www.fishbase.se/summary/1363

References

Fishbase, Greater weever. https://www.fishbase.se/summary/1363

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2



Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	High productivity (Low risk, score = 1)	Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2)	Low productivity (high risk, score = 3)
Average age at maturity	<5 years	5-15 years	>15 years
Average maximum age	<10 years	10-25 years	>25 years
Fecundity	>20,000 eggs per year	100-20,000 eggs per year	<100 eggs per year
Average maximum size	<100 cm	100-300 cm	>300 cm
Average size at maturity	<40 cm	40-200 cm	>200 cm
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	Demersal egg layer	Live bearer
Mean Trophic Level	<2.75	2.75-3.25	>3.25

Susceptibility	Low susceptibility			edium susceptibility		High susceptibility	
attributes	(L	ow risk, score = 1)	(m	nedium risk, score = 2)	(h	igh risk, score = 3)	
Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range	ne fishing <10% overlap		10	10-30% overlap		>30% overlap	
Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear	fis	Low overlap with fishing gear (low fishing gear.		High overlap with fishing gear (high encounterability). Default score for target species			
Selectivity of gear type	а	Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught	а	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught.	а	Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught	
Potential of the gear to retain species	b	Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	b	Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	b	Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear.	
Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival	re	vidence of majority leased post-capture ld survival.	rel	ridence of some eased post-capture d survival.	m	etained species or ajority dead when leased.	



D3		Average Susceptibility Score			
		1 - 1.75 1.76 - 2.24		2.25 - 3	
Average Productivity Score	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS	
	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

D4	Spe	cies Name	n/a						
	Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements								
	D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management								
		process, and reasonab	le measures are taken to minimise these impacts.						
	D4.2	There is no substantia species.	al evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the						
			Outcome:						
Eviden	ice								
D4.2 T	here is r	no substantial evidence	that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.						
Refere	ences								
Links									
Marin [*]	Trust Sta	andard clause	1.3.2.2, 4.1.4						
FAO C	CRF		7.5.1						

D.5.01

GSSI