



MarinTrust Standard V2

By-product Fishery Assessment Flounder in ICES Subdivisions 22 & 23

MarinTrust Programme

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

| | Species: | Flounder (<i>Platicthys flesus</i>) | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Geographical area: | Belt seas and the Sound | |
| Fishery Under Assessment | Country of origin of the product: | Denmark | |
| | Stock: | ICES Subdivisions 22 & 23 | |
| Date | November 2022 | | |
| Report Code | | DNK29 | |
| Assessor | Sam Peacock | | |
| Country of origin of the product - PASS | Denmark | | |
| Country of origin of the product - FAIL | NONE | | |

| Application details and | summary of the assess | ment outcome | | |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Company Name(s): Sk | agen; Triple Nine | | | |
| Country: Denmark | | | | |
| Email address: sap@maring.org, mid@maring.org | | Applicant Code: | | |
| Certification Body Deta | ails | | | |
| Name of Certification Body: | | LRQA | | |
| Assessor | Peer Reviewer | Assessment Days | Initial/Surveillance/ Re-approval | |
| Sam Peacock | Kate Morris | 0.2 | Surveillance | |
| Assessment Period | No | ovember 2022 - | - November 2023 | |

| Scope Details | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Main Species | Flounder (<i>Platicthys flesus</i>) |
| Stock | ICES Subdivisions 22 & 23 |
| Fishery Location | Belt seas and the Sound |
| Management Authority (Country/ State) | EU |
| Gear Type(s) | Bottom trawls, gillnets |
| Outcome of Assessment | |
| Peer Review Evaluation | Pass |
| Recommendation | Maintain approval |



Table 2. Assessment Determination

Assessment Determination

European flounder is categorised by the IUCN Red List as Least concern and does not appear in the CITES appendices. As at the time of the initial assessment, flounder in ICES Subdivisions 22 & 23 is not managed relative to any established reference points and only a proxy is available as an indicator of stock health. Flounder was therefore assessed under Category D.

European flounder was awarded a Productivity score of 1.43 and a Susceptibility score of 2.5, leading to an outcome of PASS on Table D3. For this reason, the by-product should remain approved for use as a raw material in MT-certified marine ingredients.

Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments

The by-product fishery under assessment here is European Flounder (*Platicthys flesus*) fishery, pursued by Danish vessels in FAO fishing area 27, ICES subdivisions 22 and 23. European flounder is managed by the EU Common Fisheries Policy and Danish Government. For this Marin Trust assessment, the European Flounder stock is scored as a category D species.

All species scoring tables have been completed by the auditor with sufficient evidence presented to support their final determination.

The peer review supports the auditor's recommendation to Pass both stocks of the fishery under the Marin Trust IFFO RS v2.0 by-fishery standard for the production of fishmeal and fish oil.

| Notes for On-site Auditor | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



Species Categorisation

NB: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

IUCN Red list Category

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

| Common name | Latin name | Stock | Management | Category | IUCN Red List Category ¹ | CITES Appendix 1 ² |
|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Flounder | Platichthys flesus | ICES Subdivisions 22 & 23 | No | D | Least Concern ³ | No |

¹ https://www.iucnredlist.org/

² https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

³ https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/135717/4191586



CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

| | | s Name | | | | | |
|-----------|--|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
| C1 | Categ | gory C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements | | | | | |
| CI | C1.1 | Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment | | | | | |
| | | process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. | | | | | |
| | C1.2 | The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit | | | | | |
| | reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific | | | | | | |
| | | authorities to be negligible. | | | | | |
| | | Clause outcome: | | | | | |
| C1.1 | Fishery | removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, of | OR are | | | | |
| consi | dered b | by scientific authorities to be negligible. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| C1.2 | | | | | | | |
| | The spe | ecies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference po | int (or | | | | |
| | - | ecies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference po | int (or | | | | |
| | - | ecies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference polemovals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. | int (or | | | | |
| | - | | int (or | | | | |
| | - | | int (or | | | | |
| proxy | - | | int (or | | | | |
| proxy | /), OR re | | int (or | | | | |
| proxy | /), OR re | | int (or | | | | |
| proxy | r), OR re | | int (or | | | | |
| Refer | r), OR re | | int (or | | | | |
| Refer | ences | emovals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. | int (or | | | | |




CATEGORY D SPECIES

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

| D1 | Species Name | Flounder | |
|----|--|---|--------------------|
| | Productivity Attribute | Value | Score |
| | Average age at maturity (years) | 3 years | 1 |
| | Average maximum age (years) | 12.4 years | 2 |
| | Fecundity (eggs/spawning) | 894,427 | 1 |
| | Average maximum size (cm) | 60cm | 1 |
| | Average size at maturity (cm) | 26.7cm | 1 |
| | Reproductive strategy | Broadcast spawner | 1 |
| | Mean trophic level | 3.3 | 3 |
| | | Average Productivity Score | 1.43 |
| | Susceptibility Attribute | Value | Score |
| | Availability (area overlap) | <10% | 1 |
| | Encounterability (the position of the stock/spe | Largeted | 3 |
| | the water column relative to the fishing gear) | rangeteu | |
| | Selectivity of gear type | Retained | 3 |
| | Post-capture mortality | Retained | 3 |
| | | Average Susceptibility Score | 2.5 |
| | | PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3) | PASS |
| | | Compliance rating | PASS |
| | Further justification for susceptibility scoring | (where relevant) | |
| | For susceptibility attributes, please provide a laffecting your decision | brief rationale for scoring of parameters where there r | nay be uncertainty |





Computer-generated distribution map for European flounder. From Fishbase, https://www.fishbase.se/summary/1341

References

Fishbase, European flounder: https://www.fishbase.se/summary/1341

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2



Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

| Productivity attributes | High productivity (Low risk, score = 1) | Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2) | Low productivity (high risk, score = 3) |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|
| Average age at maturity | <5 years | 5-15 years | >15 years |
| Average maximum age | <10 years | 10-25 years | >25 years |
| Fecundity | >20,000 eggs per year | 100-20,000 eggs per year | <100 eggs per year |
| Average maximum size | <100 cm | 100-300 cm | >300 cm |
| Average size at maturity | <40 cm | 40-200 cm | >200 cm |
| Reproductive strategy | Broadcast spawner | Demersal egg layer | Live bearer |
| Mean Trophic Level | <2.75 | 2.75-3.25 | >3.25 |

| Susceptibility attributes | | ow susceptibility ow risk, score = 1) | | edium susceptibility nedium risk, score = 2) | | High susceptibility (high risk, score = 3) | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range | <10% overlap | | 10 | 10-30% overlap | | >30% overlap | |
| Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear | Low overlap with fishing gear (low encounterability). | | Medium overlap with fishing gear. | | High overlap with fishing gear (high encounterability). Default score for target species | | |
| Selectivity of gear type | а | Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught | а | Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught. | а | Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught | |
| Potential of the gear to retain species | b | Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear. | Ь | Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear. | b | Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear. | |
| Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival | re | ridence of majority eased post-capture d survival. | rel | idence of some eased post-capture d survival. | m | etained species or ajority dead when leased. | |



| D3 | | Average Susceptibility Score | | | |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|----------|--|
| | | 1 - 1.75 | 1.76 - 2.24 | 2.25 - 3 | |
| Average Productivity | 1 - 1.75 | PASS | PASS | PASS | |
| Score | 1.76 - 2.24 | PASS | PASS | TABLE D4 | |
| | 2.25 - 3 | PASS | TABLE D4 | TABLE D4 | |

| D4 | Species Name | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements | | | | | | |
| | D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | D4.2 There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species. | | | | | | |
| | • | Outcome: | | | | | |
| Eviden | nce | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| D4.2 T | here is r | no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Refere | ences | | | | | | |
| Refere | ences | | | | | | |
| Links | | tandard clause 1.3.2.2, 4.1.4 | | | | | |

D.5.01

GSSI