



# MarinTrust Standard V2

# By-product Fishery Assessment, DNK28, Flounder (Platichthys flesus), Denmark

#### **MarinTrust Programme**

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



# Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Flounder ( <i>Platichthys flesus</i> )	
	Geographical area:	FAO 27, Atlantic Northeast	
Fishery Under Assessment	Country of origin of the product:	Denmark	
	Stock:	ICES 3.a, 4	
Date	August 2023		
Report Code	DNK28		
Assessor	Blanca Gonzalez		
Country of origin of the product - PASS	Denmark		
Country of origin of the product - FAIL	None		

Application details and	d summary of the assess	sment outcome					
Company Name(s): FFSkagen: TripleNine							
Country: Denmark							
Email address:		Applicant Cod	e:				
Certification Body Det	ails						
Name of Certification Body:		LRQA					
Assessor	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/ Re-approval				
Blanca Gonzalez Sam Peacock		0.5 Surveillance 2					
Assessment Period August 2023-August 2024							

Scope Details	
Main Species	Flounder (Platichthys flesus)
Stock	ICES 3.a, 4. Skagerrak and Kattegat, and North Sea
Fishery Location	FAO 27, Atlantic Northeast
Management Authority (Country/ State)	EU
Gear Type(s)	Bottom trawl and gillnets
Outcome of Assessment	
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree with recommendation
Recommendation	Approve



# Table 2. Assessment Determination

#### **Assessment Determination**

Flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) was assessed as a category D species considering that it is a Least Concern species by the IUCN, it is not in included in any CITES Appendixes, and there is not any information on stock, nor species-specific conservation status (ICES 2023).

In the Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) flounder was awarded an average productivity score of 1.43 and an average susceptibility score of 3, and it passed against Table D3, indicating that flounder is not vulnerable to this fishery.

The flounder by-product meets the Marin Trust requirements and it should remain approved for use as a raw material.

ICES (2023). Flounder (Platichthys flesus) in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a (North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat). ICES Advice: Recurrent Advice. Report. https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.21840792.v1

#### **Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments**

The peer reviewer agrees that the lack of formal reference points, particularly a limit reference point, means it is most appropriate to assess the flounder stock in ICES Subarea 4 and Division 3a under Category D. The PSA has been conducted correctly and the PR agrees that the raw material should remain MarinTrust approved.

#### **Notes for On-site Auditor**

There are no concerns that requires attention from the on-site assessor.



# **Species Categorisation**

**NB:** If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

## **IUCN Red list Category**

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

# Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category <sup>1</sup>	CITES Appendix 1 <sup>2</sup>
Flounder	Platichthys flesus	ICES 3.a, 4. Skagerrak and Kattegat, and North Sea	No	D	Least Concern <sup>3</sup>	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

<sup>3</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/species/135717/4191586



# **CATEGORY C SPECIES**

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

-	ecies	s Name					
<b>C1</b>	Catego	ory C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements					
CI	C1.1	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment					
		process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
	C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit						
		reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific					
		authorities to be negligible.					
		Clause outcome:					
		cies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (c					
	), OR re	emovals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
	ences	emovals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
		emovals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
		emovals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
Refer	ences	tandard clause  assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
Refer	ences nTrust St						



# **CATEGORY D SPECIES**

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

<b>Species Name</b>	Flounder ( <i>Platichthys flesus</i> )				
Productivity Attribut	e Value	Score			
Average age at maturity (years)	3	1			
Average maximum age (years)	12.4	2			
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	894,427	1			
Average maximum size (cm)	60	1			
Average size at maturity (cm)	26.7	1			
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	1			
Mean trophic level	3.3	3			
	Average Productivity Sco	ore 1.43			
Susceptibility Attribu	e Value	Score			
Availability (area overlap)	>30%	3			
Encounterability (the position of the s within the water column relative to the		ear 3			
Selectivity of gear type	Individuals < size at maturit are frequently caught <sup>1</sup>	3			
Post-capture mortality	Retained	3			
	Average Susceptibility Sco	ore 3			
	PSA Risk Rating (From Table I	D3) PASS			
	ing PASS				

#### Further justification for susceptibility scoring (where relevant)

For susceptibility attributes, please provide a brief rationale for scoring of parameters where there may be uncertainty affecting your decision

### References

https://www.fishbase.se/summary/SpeciesSummary.php?ID=1341&AT=flounder

<sup>1</sup> ICES (2023). Flounder (Platichthys flesus) in Subarea 4 and Division 3.a (North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat). ICES Advice: Recurrent Advice. Report. <a href="https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.21840792.v1">https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.21840792.v1</a>

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2



# Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	High productivity (Low risk, score = 1)	Medium productivity (medium risk, score = 2)	Low productivity (high risk, score = 3)
Average age at maturity	<5 years	5-15 years	>15 years
Average maximum age	<10 years	10-25 years	>25 years
Fecundity	>20,000 eggs per year	100-20,000 eggs per year	<100 eggs per year
Average maximum size	<100 cm	100-300 cm	>300 cm
Average size at maturity	<40 cm	40-200 cm	>200 cm
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner	Demersal egg layer	Live bearer
Mean Trophic Level	<2.75	2.75-3.25	>3.25

Susceptibility attributes		ow susceptibility ow risk, score = 1)		edium susceptibility nedium risk, score = 2)		High susceptibility (high risk, score = 3)	
Areal overlap (availability) Overlap of the fishing effort with the species range	<10% overlap		10-30% overlap		>30% overlap		
Encounterability The position of the stock/species within the water column relative to the fishing gear, and the position of the stock/species within the habitat relative to the position of the gear	fis	ow overlap with hing gear (low ecounterability).	Medium overlap with fishing gear.		High overlap with fishing gear (high encounterability). Default score for target species		
Selectivity of gear type	а	Individuals < size at maturity are rarely caught	а	Individuals < size at maturity are regularly caught.	а	Individuals < size at maturity are frequently caught	
Potential of the gear to retain species	b	Individuals < size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	b	Individuals < half the size at maturity can escape or avoid gear.	b	Individuals < half the size at maturity are retained by gear.	
Post-capture mortality (PCM) The chance that, if captured, a species would be released and that it would be in a condition permitting subsequent survival	re	vidence of majority leased post-capture Id survival.	rel	ridence of some eased post-capture d survival.	m	etained species or ajority dead when leased.	



D3		Average Susceptibility Score			
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3	
Average Productivity 1 - 1.75		PASS	PASS	PASS	
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

<b>D4</b>	Spe	Species Name							
	Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements								
	D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.								
	D4.2 There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.								
			Outcome:						
		easures are taken to mir	nimise these impacts. that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.						
Refere	ences								
Links									
Marin	Trust Sta	andard clause	1.3.2.2, 4.1.4						
FAO C	CRF		7.5.1						
			D.5.01						