

MarinTrust Standard V2

By-product Fishery Assessment Haddock Melanogrammus aeglefinus (ICES Division 5.b Faroes grounds)

MarinTrust Programme

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SF17 3B7

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Species:	Haddock <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>	
	Geographical area:	FAO 27 Northeast Atlantic	
Fishery Under Assessment	Country of origin of the product:	Faroe Islands (Flag state)	
	Stock:	ICES Division 5.b (Faroes grounds)	
Date	May 2022		
Report Code	DNK14		
Assessor	Conor Donnelly		
Country of origin of the product - PASS	Faroe Islands (Flag state)		
Country of origin of the product - FAIL			

Application details and summary of the assessment outcome				
Company Name(s): M	arine Ingredients Denm	nark; FFSkagen,	TripleNine	
Country: Denmark				
Email address:		Applicant Code	e:	
Certification Body Det	ails			
Name of Certification	Body:	Global Trust Co	ertification	
Assessor Peer Reviewer		Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/ Re-approval	
Conor Donnelly Ivan Mateo 0.5 TBC			TBC	
Assessment Period To May 2022				

Scope Details		
Main Species	Haddock Melanogrammus aeglefinus	
Stock	ICES Division 5.b (Faroes grounds)	
Fishery Location	FAO 27 Northeast Atlantic	
Management Authority	Faroe Islands	
(Country/ State)	Fai de Islands	
Gear Type(s)	Longlines, trawls	
Outcome of Assessment		
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree with assessor's determination	
Recommendation	APPROVE	

Table 2. Assessment Determination

Assessment Determination

If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it cannot be approved for use as Marin Trust raw material. Haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) does not appear as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, nor does it appear in the CITES appendices; therefore, haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) in ICES Division 5.b (Faroes grounds) is eligible for approval for use as Marin Trust raw material.

There is a species-specific management regime in place for this stock including a stock assessment with reference points defined and a TAC set and therefore, the stock was assessed under Category C.

In the last stock assessment, removals are considered, and the stock is above B_{lim} and MSY $B_{trigger}$, therefore the fishery PASSES clauses C1.1 and C1.2.

Haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) in ICES Division 5.b (Faroes grounds) is APPROVED for the production of fishmeal and fish oil under the Marin Trust Standard v.2.

Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments

The assessor correctly classified haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) in ICES Division 5.b (Faroes grounds) as category C, this stock is managed, and reference points are defined.

Fishery removals are considered in the stock assessment process. The most recent stock assessment shows that the stock is above B_{lim} and MSY B_{trigger}. Therefore, the stock is considered to have a biomass above the limit reference point.

Haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) in ICES Division 5.b (Faroes grounds) passes both Clauses C1.1 and C1.2 and is therefore approved under the Marin Trust Standard v.2.

Notes for On-site Auditor
None.



Species Categorisation

NB: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MarinTrust raw material.

IUCN Red list Category

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

Table 3 Species Categorisation Table

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category ¹	CITES Appendix 1 ²
Haddock	Melanogrammus		Faroe Islands ³	С	LC (Europe)	Not listed
	aeglefinus	5.b (Faroes)				

¹ https://www.iucnredlist.org/

² https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

³ The Faroe Islands are a self-governing nation under the external sovereignty of the Kingdom of Denmark. Faroe Islands have exclusive competence to legislate and govern independently in a wide range of areas. These include for example the conservation and management of living marine resources. Although Denmark is a member state of the European Union, the Faroe Islands have chosen to remain outside the Union. Accordingly, the Faroe Islands negotiate their own trade and fisheries agreements with the EU and other countries. https://www.faroeislands.fo/the-big-picture/in-brief/

CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for each Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

Spe	Species Name Haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus) in ICES Division 5.b (Iceland Faroes grounds					
C1	Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements					
CI	C1.1					
C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.				Yes		
			Clause outcome:	DVCC		

C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

The stock is assessed through a SAM with catch-at-age data and age-disaggregated indices, using catches in the model and in the forecast (ICES, 2021). Input data includes commercial catches (mainly Faroese catches, ages and length frequencies from catch sampling); survey indices (FO-GFS-Q1 [G1264], and FO-GFS-Q3 [G3284]) and annual maturity data from FO-GFS-Q1 (G1264). Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process and the species **PASSES** clause C1.1.

C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

Biomass reference points are defined for this stock and in its most recent assessment the stock is above its limit reference point, B_{lim}, and also MSY B_{trigger} (see figure below). Therefore, the stock has a biomass above the limit reference point and **PASSES** clause C1.2.






FIGURE 1. HADDOCK IN DIVISION 5.B (FAROES GROUNDS). SUMMARY OF THE STOCK ASSESSMENT. CATCHES, RECRUITMENT, FISHING MORTALITY (F), AND SPAWNING-STOCK BIOMASS (SSB) (SOURCE: ICES. 2021).

References

ICES. 2021. Haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) in Division 5.b (Faroes grounds). In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2021. ICES Advice 2021, had.27.5b, https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.7761.

Links		
MarinTrust Standard clause	1.3.2.2	
FAO CCRF	7.5.3	
GSSI	D.3.04, D5.01	



CATEGORY D SPECIES

Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

D1	Species Name					
	Productivity Attribute	Value	Score			
	Average age at maturity (years)					
	Average maximum age (years)					
	Fecundity (eggs/spawning)					
	Average maximum size (cm)					
	Average size at maturity (cm)					
	Reproductive strategy					
	Mean trophic level					
		Average Productivity Score				
	Susceptibility Attribute	Value	Score			
	Availability (area overlap)					
	Encounterability (the position of the stock/species					
	within the water column relative to the fishing gear)					
	Selectivity of gear type					
	Post-capture mortality					
	Average Susceptibility Score					
		PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)				
		Compliance rating				
	Further justification for susceptibility scoring (where relevant) For susceptibility attributes, please provide a brief rationale for scoring of parameters where there may be uncertainty affecting your decision					
Refere	nces					
Standa	rd clauses 1.3.2.2					



Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	Low productivity/ High risk	Medium productivity/ Medium risk	High productivity/ Low risk	
	Score 3	Score 2	Score 1	
Average age at maturity (years)	>4	2 to 4	<2	
Average maximum age (years)	>30	10 to 30	<10	
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	<1 000	1 000 to 10 000	>10 000	
Average maximum size (cm)	>150	60 to 150	<60	
Average size at maturity (cm)	>150	30 to 150	<30	
Reproductive strategy	Live bearer, mouth brooder or significant parental investment	Demersal spawner "berried"	Broadcast spawner	
Mean trophic level	>3.25	2.5-3.25	<2.5	

Susceptibility attributes		High susceptibility/ High risk	Medium susceptibility/ Medium risk	Low susceptibility/ Low risk		
		Score 3	Score 2	Score 1		
Availability	Overlap of adult species range with fishery		>50% of stock occurs in the area fished	Between 25% and 50% of the stock occurs in the area fished	<25% of stock occurs in the area fished	
	2)	Distribution	Only in the country/ fishery	Limited range in the region	Throughout region/ global distribution	
Encounterability	1)	Habitat	Habitat preference of species make it highly likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. demersal, muddy/sandy bottom)	Habitat preference of species make it moderately likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. rocky bottom/reefs)	Depth or distribution of species make it unlikely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. epi-pelagic or meso-pelagic)	
	2)	Depth range	High overlap with trawl fishing gear (20 to 60 m depth)	Medium overlap with trawl fishing gear (10 to 20 m depth)	Low overlap with trawl fishing gear (0 to 10 m, >70 m depth)	
Selectivity			Species >2 times mesh size or up to 4 m length	Species 1 to 2 times mesh size or 4 to 5 m length	Species <mesh or<br="" size="">>5 m length</mesh>	
Post capture mortality			Most dead or retained Trawl tow >3 hours	Alive after net hauled Trawl tow 0.5 to 3 hours	Released alive Trawl tow <0.5 hours	

Note: Availability 2 is only used when there is no information for Availability 1; the most conservative score between Encounterability 1 and 2 is used.



D3		Average Susceptibility Score		
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3
Average Productivity	1 - 1.75	PASS	PASS	PASS
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4

D4	Species Name						
	Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements						
	D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.						
	D4.2 There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.						
			Outcome:				
	reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts. D4.2 There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.						
Refere	References						
Links	Links						
Marin [*]	MarinTrust Standard clause 1.3.2.2, 4.1.4						
FAO C	CRF	7.	5.1				
GSSI	D.5.01						