

# By-Product assessment report

# **BP135**

Iquique (Compañia Pesquera Camanchaca SA)

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025



Report code	BP135	Date of issue	November 2025
ricport code	DI 133	Dute of 133ac	TVOVCITIBET 2023

1. Application details				
Applicant	Iquique (Compañia Pesquera Camanchaca SA)			
Applicant country	Chile			
2. Certification Body details				
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA			
Contact information for CB				
Assessor name	Blanca Gonzalez			
CB internal peer reviewer name	José Peiró Crespo			
Internal peer review evaluation	w evaluation Agree with evaluation			
Number of Assessment days	0.2			
The byproduct species listed in this considered ETP species under the M definition, thereby fulfilling this req the assessment. All of them are cau Chilean-flagged vessels. Chile has a result in Step 2; therefore, Step 3 is and all listed byproducts are approved be sourced with caution.				
3. Approval validity	Valid from 11/2025 Valid until 11/2025			
4. Assessment cycle	Initial			

5. By-product assessment outcomes								
By-product species name	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Areas Only applicable to Step	MarinTrust approval					
Common and Latin names		3 assessed species	status					
Pacific chub mackerel/macarela - Scomber japonicus	Chile	NA	Approved source with caution					



Jack mackerel/jurel -	Chile	NA	Approved source with
Trachurus murphyi			caution

#### **Guidance for on-site auditor**

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

### **Approved by-products**

No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

#### Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

• Review supplier records or procedures in place.

#### Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

#### Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



# Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

### **Step 2 Assessment Outcomes**

By-product species name  Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	Select CITES appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status  Low risk/ Medium  risk/ High risk	Step 3 required  Yes / No
Pacific chub mackerel/macarela - Scomber japonicus	Chile	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Jack mackerel/jurel - Trachurus murphyi	Chile	Data deficient	Not listed	Medium risk	No



## **Step 3 Assessment Outcomes**

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name  (If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Category C Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Traceability information  Path 1 – Yes OR  Path 2 – Yes/No OR  MT Approved Whole  Fish	Step 3 Risk Outcome  Risk downgraded to  Medium Risk/ Remains  High Risk
Comments on Ste	o 3 Assessment: Asse	essor note: N/A				

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 - Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager | Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted |

© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



# Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

# (step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

## Step 2 outcomes

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Chile	Medium	1.96	2.39	1.9	1	1	1	1	81.13%



## Step 3 outcomes

### **Category C assessment**

Speci	es nam	ie				
Fishing area and						
stock						
<b>C1</b>			k Status - Minimum Requirements			
	C1.1	,	emovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included			
		in the sto	ock assessment process, OR			
		are consi	dered by scientific authorities to be negligible.			
	C1.2	The spec	ies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a	,		
		biomass	above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR			
		removals	by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific			
		authoriti	es to be negligible.			
			Clause outcome:			
C1.1	Fishery	removals	of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the	stock		
asses	sment	process O	R are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.			
Add r	Add rationale here					
C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.						
Add r	ational	e here				
Refer	ences:	N/A				



### **Traceability information**

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name						
Path 1		Yes □ No □				
Confirm all KDEs are provided		Yes □	No □			
Path 2	Yes □ No If yes for Pa	□ ath 2, cor	mplete the n	ext section		
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coas	stal score	Port score	Risk outcome	
Countries may be					Choose an item.	
different for Coastal					Choose an item.	
State and Port State.						