

By-Product assessment report

BP132

Grøntvedt Nutri

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025



Report code	BP132	Date of issue	November 2025
ricport code	DI 132	Dute of 133ac	TVOVCITIBET 2023

1. Application details					
Applicant	Grøntvedt Nutri				
Applicant country	Norway				
2. Certification Body details					
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA				
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com				
Assessor name	Blanca Gonzalez				
CB internal peer reviewer name	José Peiró Crespo				
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation				
Number of Assessment days	0.2				
Comments on the assessment	The byproduct species listed in this report are not considered ETP species under the Marin Trust definition, thereby fulfilling this requirement for the assessment. All of them are caught by Norway-flagged vessels. Norway has a Medium Risk result in Step 2; therefore, Step 3 is not required, and all listed byproducts are approved but may be sourced with caution.				
3. Approval validity	Valid from 11/2025 Valid until 11/2025				
4. Assessment cycle Initial					

5. By-product assessment outcomes							
By-product species		Fishing Areas					
name	Flag country(ies)	Only applicable to Step	MarinTrust approval				
Common and Latin		3 assessed species	status				
names							
Herring - Clupea	Norway	NA	Approved source with				
harengus			caution				



Capelin - Mallotus	Norway	NA	Approved source with
villosus			caution

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

• Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	Select CITES appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk	Step 3 required Yes / No
Herring - Clupea harengus	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Capelin - Mallotus villosus	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No



Step 3 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name (If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Category C Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Traceability information Path 1 – Yes OR Path 2 – Yes/No OR MT Approved Whole Fish	Step 3 Risk Outcome Risk downgraded to Medium Risk/ Remains High Risk
0	3 Assessment: Asse					

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 - Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager

Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted

© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

(step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Norway	Medium	2.42	2.39	2.30	1	1	1	1	92.00%



Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Species name		ie						
Fishing area and								
stock								
C1	Categ		k Status - Minimum Requirements					
	C1.1	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included						
		in the sto	ock assessment process, OR					
		are consi	dered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
	C1.2	The spec	ies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a	,				
		biomass	above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR					
		removals	by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific					
			es to be negligible.					
			Clause outcome:					
C1.1	Fishery	removals	of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the	stock				
asses	sment	process O	R are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
Add r	ational	e here						
C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.								
Add rationale here								
Refer	ences :	N/A						



Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name						
Path 1		Yes □ No □				
Confirm all KDEs are provided		Yes □	No □			
Path 2	□ ath 2, cor	mplete the n	ext section			
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coas	stal score	Port score	Risk outcome	
Countries may be					Choose an item.	
different for Coastal					Choose an item.	
State and Port State.						