

## By-Product assessment report

### **BP 115**

### Sardinas de Sonora SA de CV

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025



Report code	BP115	Date of issue	May 2025

1. Application details					
Applicant	Sardinas de Sonora SA de	2 CV			
Applicant country	Mexico				
2. Certification Body details					
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA				
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com				
Assessor name	Blanca Gonzalez				
CB internal peer reviewer name	Sam Peacock				
Internal peer review evaluation	peer review evaluation Agree with evaluation				
Number of Assessment days	0.2				
Comments on the assessment	The two byproduct species listed in this report are not considered ETP species according to the Marin Trust definition, fulfilling this requirement for the assessment.  Both species require a step 3 assessment evaluation due to Mexico's high-risk flag state. However, these byproducts are derived from a whole fish fishery that is already Marin Trust approved up to December 2025. The whole fish assessment report matched both species here listed, thus step 3 is not required according to Marin Trust By-product assessment criteria and risk was downgraded to medium risk approving these byproducts, but they should be source wit caution.				
3. Approval validity	Valid from 05/2025	Valid until 05/2026			
4. Assessment cycle	Initial				

### 5. By-product assessment outcomes



By-product species name  Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Areas  Only applicable to Step 3 assessed species	MarinTrust approval status
Pacific jack mackerel - Trachurus symmetricus	Mexico	State of Sonora, Gulf of California	Approved source with caution
Japanese sardine - Etrumeus teres	Mexico	State of Sonora, Gulf of California	Approved source with caution

#### **Guidance for on-site auditor**

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

#### Approved by-products

No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

#### Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

• Review supplier records or procedures in place.

### Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

#### Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



# Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

### **Step 2 Assessment Outcomes**

By-product species name  Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	Select CITES appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status  Low risk/ Medium  risk/ High risk	Step 3 required  Yes / No
Pacific jack mackerel - Trachurus symmetricus	Mexico	Least concern	Not listed	High risk	Yes
Japanese sardine - Etrumeus teres	Mexico	Least concern	Not listed	High risk	Yes



### **Step 3 Assessment Outcomes**

Assessor note: All species identifed as requiring Step 3 in Table above, will have additional assessment information presented here.

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name (If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Category C Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Traceability information  Path 1 – Yes OR  Path 2 – Yes/No OR  MT Approved Whole  Fish	Step 3 Risk Outcome  Risk downgraded to  Medium Risk/ Remains  High Risk
Pacific jack mackerel - Trachurus symmetricus	Mexico	State of Sonora	Gulf of California	NA	MT Approved Whole Fish	Risk downgraded to Medium Risk
Japanese sardine - Etrumeus teres Comments on Ster	Mexico  3 Assessment: N/A	State of Sonora	Gulf of California	NA	MT Approved Whole Fish	Risk downgraded to Medium Risk



# Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

# (step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

### Step 2 outcomes

Assessor note: Copy and paste from Spreadsheet.

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Mexico	High	2.25	3.06	2.78	2	1	5	1	46.70%



### Step 3 outcomes

### **Category C assessment**

Species name

Assessor	note:	Duplicate	for each	ı species <i>,</i>	stoc	k
----------	-------	-----------	----------	--------------------	------	---

Fishir	ng area	and						
stock	(							
<b>C1</b>	Categ	ory C Sto	k Status - Min	imum Red	uirements			
CI	C1.1	Fishery r	emovals of the	species ir	the fishery u	nder assessment a	re included	
		in the sto	ock assessment	t process,	OR			
		are cons	idered by scien	ntific autho	orities to be ne	gligible.		
	C1.2					ck assessment, to	have a	
	biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR							
						onsidered by scien	tific	
			es to be neglig			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	1					Clause	e outcome:	
C1.1	Fishery	removals	s of the specie	s in the f	isherv under a	assessment are in		e stock
	-		•		-	ies to be negligible		5 5 5 5 5 T
asses	Silicit	process c	nt are consider	cu by sele	intine authorit	ics to be negligible	<b>.</b>	
C1 2	The sne	ocias is co	nsidered in its	most rec	ant stack assa	ssment, to have a	hiomass aho	ove the
			-			under assessmen		
		-	o be negligible		by the hishery	under assessmen	t are conside	icu by
scien	tille au	tiioiities t	o be flegligible					
Dofor	rences							
Kelei	ences							
Tracea	bility ir	nformatio	n					
	,							
Inform	nation p	rovided fo	or Step 3 Path 1	L or Path 2				
Assess	sor note	e: Duplica	te for each spe	cies/stock				
Coosi	ios nom							
Speci	ies nam	ie						
	_							
Path	1			Yes □	No □			
Confi	rm all k	DEs are p	rovided	Yes □	No □			
Path	2		Yes □ No					
			If yes for Par	th 2, com	plete the nex	t section		
Path	2 outco	me	Flag country		al score	Port score	Risk outcor	me



Countries may be		
different for Coastal		
State and Port State.		