

By-Product assessment report

BP095

Fiskimjolsverksmidja (Isfelag Vestmannaeyja)

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025



Report code BP095 Date of issue August 2025

1. Application details				
Applicant	Fiskimjolsverksmidja (Isfelag Vestmannaeyja)			
Applicant country	Iceland			
2. Certification Body details				
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA			
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com			
Assessor name	Sam Peacock			
CB internal peer reviewer name	Blanca Gonzalez			
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation			
Number of Assessment days	0.1			
Comments on the assessment	This byproduct assessment covers four species sourced from one flag state, Iceland. Iceland is Low Risk under Step 2; therefore, all four byproducts can be Approved without the need for a Step 3 assessment.			
3. Approval validity	Valid from 08/25 Valid until 08/26			
4. Assessment cycle	sment cycle Initial			

5. By-product assessment outcomes



By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Areas Only applicable to Step 3 assessed species	MarinTrust approval status
Mallotus villosus - Capelin	Iceland	N/A	Approved
Clupea harengus - Herring	Iceland	N/A	Approved
Scomber scombrus - Mackerel	Iceland	N/A	Approved
Micromesistius poutassou - Blue whiting	Iceland	N/A	Approved

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

• No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

• Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder



The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	Select CITES appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk	Step 3 required Yes / No
Mallotus villosus - Capelin	Iceland	Least concern	Not listed	Low risk	No
Clupea harengus - Herring	Iceland	Least concern	Not listed	Low risk	No
Scomber scombrus - Mackerel	Iceland	Least concern	Not listed	Low risk	No
Micromesistius poutassou - Blue whiting	Iceland	Least concern	Not listed	Low risk	No



Step 3 Assessment Outcomes

By-product	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name	Category C	Traceability information	Step 3 Risk Outcome
species name Common and Latin names			(If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Path 1 – Yes OR Path 2 – Yes/No OR MT Approved Whole Fish	Risk downgraded to Medium Risk/ Remains High Risk
Comments on Stor	2 Assassment N/A					

Comments on Step 3 Assessment: N/A



Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

(step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Iceland	Low	1.58	1.78	1.83	1	1	1	1	88.21%



Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Spec	Species name No Step 3 assessments required					
Fishing area and						
stock		6.61	Louis Mistro o Brothonous			
C1		•	k Status - Minimum Requirements			
	C1.1	,	emovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included			
			ock assessment process, OR			
		are consi	dered by scientific authorities to be negligible.			
	C1.2	The spec	ies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a			
		biomass	above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR			
		removals	by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific			
	authorities to be negligible.					
	Clause outcome:					
C1.1	C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock					
asses	sment	process O	R are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.			
Add rationale here						
Add r	ational	e nere				
C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.						
Add rationale here						
References: N/A						

Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2



Species name						
Path 1		Yes □ No □				
Confirm all KDEs are provided		Yes □ No □				
Path 2	Yes □ No If yes for Par	□ th 2, complete the	next section			
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coastal score	Port score	Risk outcome		
Countries may be				Choose an item.		
different for Coastal				Choose an item.		
State and Port State.						