



By-Product assessment report

BP084

Lofoten Biomarine AS

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025

Report code	BP084	Date of issue	October 2026
--------------------	-------	----------------------	--------------

1. Application details	
Applicant	Lofoten Biomarine AS
Applicant country	Norway
2. Certification Body details	
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA
Contact information for CB	fisheries-ca@lrqa.com
Assessor name	Blanca Gonzalez
CB internal peer reviewer name	Ayana Sabu
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation
Number of Assessment days	0.5

Comments on the assessment	The byproduct species listed in this report are not considered ETP species under the Marin Trust definition, thereby fulfilling this requirement for the assessment. The Deepwater/beaked redfish is considered of least concern globally but endangered in Europe according to 2015 data. The client provided explicit information about this fishery occurring in the north of Norway, which is a stock assessed by the Joint Russian Norwegian Working Group on Arctic Fisheries. The latest report states that fishing pressure on the stock is below the F_{MSY} and that spawning-stock biomass (SSB) is above the reference points (JRN-AFWG 2026); therefore, the IUCN category considered in this assessment was least concern. All of the species are caught by Norwegian-flagged vessels, and three of them are also caught by German-flagged vessels. Norway and Germany have a Medium Risk result in Step 2; therefore, Step 3 is not required, and all listed byproducts are approved but may be sourced with caution. JRN-AFWG. 2026. Advice on fishing opportunities for beaked redfish in 2027 in ICES subareas 1 and 2 (Northeast Arctic). https://www.hi.no/en/hi/nettrapporter/imr-vnro-en-2026-3
3. Approval validity	Valid from 10/2026 Valid until 10/2027
4. Assessment cycle	Re-Approval

5. By-product assessment outcomes			
By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Areas <i>Only applicable to Step 3 assessed species</i>	MarinTrust approval status
Herring - <i>Clupea harengus</i>	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution

Mackerel - <i>Scomber scombrus</i>	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution
Capelin - <i>Mallotus villosus</i>	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution
Cod - <i>Gadus morhua</i>	Norway, Germany	NA	Approved source with caution
Haddock - <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>	Norway, Germany	NA	Approved source with caution
Saithe - <i>Pollachius virens</i>	Norway, Germany	NA	Approved source with caution
Ling - <i>Molva molva</i>	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution
Tusk - <i>Brosme brosme</i>	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution
Deepwater/beaked redfish - <i>Sebastes mentella</i>	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

- No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

- Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

- In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1**

to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.

Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	IUCN Red List <i>Select IUCN red list category from dropdown</i>	CITES Appendices <i>Select CITES appendix status from dropdown</i>	Step 2 risk status <i>Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk</i>	Step 3 required <i>Yes / No</i>
Herring - <i>Clupea harengus</i>	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Mackerel - <i>Scomber scombrus</i>	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Capelin - <i>Mallotus villosus</i>	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Cod - <i>Gadus morhua</i>	Norway, Germany	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Haddock - <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>	Norway, Germany	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Saithe - <i>Pollachius virens</i>	Norway, Germany	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1

| Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager

Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted

© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only

Ling - <i>Molva molva</i>	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Tusk - <i>Brosme brosme</i>	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Deepwater/beaked redfish - <i>Sebastes mentella</i>	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No

Step 3 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name <i>(If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)</i>	Category C Assessment Outcome <i>Pass/Fail</i>	Traceability information <i>Path 1 – Yes OR Path 2 – Yes/No OR MT Approved Whole Fish</i>	Step 3 Risk Outcome <i>Risk downgraded to Medium Risk/ Remains High Risk</i>
Comments on Step 3 Assessment: <i>NA</i>						

Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes (step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Norway	Medium	2.42	2.39	2.1	1	1	1	1	92.00%
Germany	Medium	2.17	2.22	1.83	1	1	1	1	92.45%

Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Species name		NA	
Fishing area and stock			
C1	Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements		
	C1.1	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
			Clause outcome:
C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.			
References: N/A			

Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name				
Path 1		Yes ☐ No ☐		
Confirm all KDEs are provided		Yes ☐ No ☐		
Path 2	Yes ☐ No ☐ <i>If yes for Path 2, complete the next section</i>			
Path 2 outcome <i>Countries may be different for Coastal State and Port State.</i>	Flag country	Coastal score	Port score	Risk outcome
				Choose an item.
				Choose an item.