

## By-Product assessment report

**BP078** 

Sia Venta FM

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025



Report code	BP078	Date of issue	September 2025

1. Application details					
Applicant	Sia Venta FM				
Applicant country	Latvia				
2. Certification Body details					
Name of Certification Body (CB)  LRQA					
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com				
Assessor name	Sam Peacock				
CB internal peer reviewer name	Blanca Gonzalez				
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation				
Number of Assessment days	0.2				
Comments on the assessment	This assessment covers two byproducts, both of which are exclusively sourced from one Medium Risk flag state. No Step 3 assessment is required and both byproducts are straightforwardly Approved, source with caution.				
3. Approval validity	Valid from 09/2025 Valid until 09/2026				
4. Assessment cycle	Initial				

5. By-product assessment outcomes								
By-product species name	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Areas Only applicable to Step	MarinTrust approval					
Common and Latin names		3 assessed species	status					
Sprattus sprattus - Sprat	Latvia	n/a	Approved source with caution					
Clupea harengus - Herring	Latvia	n/a	Approved source with caution					
Guidance for on-site auditor								



For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

#### **Approved by-products**

No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

#### **Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products**

• Review supplier records or procedures in place.

#### Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use Appendix 1 to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

#### Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



# Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

### **Step 2 Assessment Outcomes**

By-product species name  Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	CITES Appendices  Select CITES  appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status  Low risk/ Medium  risk/ High risk	Step 3 required  Yes / No
Sprattus sprattus - Sprat	Latvia	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Clupea harengus - Herring	Latvia	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No



### **Step 3 Assessment Outcomes**

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name (If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Category C Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Traceability information  Path 1 – Yes OR  Path 2 – Yes/No OR  MT Approved Whole  Fish	Step 3 Risk Outcome  Risk downgraded to  Medium Risk/ Remains  High Risk		
No Step 3 assessments  Comments on Step 3 Assessment: n/a								

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager

Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted



# Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

# (step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

## Step 2 outcomes

Fla	g state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Lat	via	Medium	1.79	2	2.13	1	1	1	1	94.91%



## Step 3 outcomes

### **Category C assessment**

Species name		ie				
Fishing area and						
stock		0.00				
C1			k Status - Minimum Requirements			
	C1.1	-	emovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included			
		in the sto	ock assessment process, OR			
		are consi	dered by scientific authorities to be negligible.			
	C1.2	The spec	ies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a			
		biomass	above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR			
		removals	by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific			
		authoriti	es to be negligible.			
			Clause outcome:			
C1.1	Fishery	removals	of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the	e stock		
asses	sment	process O	R are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.			
Add r	ational	e here				
C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.						
Add rationale here						
References: n/a						

### **Traceability information**

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Species name						
Path 1	Yes □ No □					
Confirm all KDEs are p	rovided	Yes □ No □				
Path 2	□ th 2, compl	lete the nex	t section			
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coastal	score	Port score	Risk outcome	
Countries may be					Choose an item.	
different for Coastal					Choose an item.	
State and Port State.						