

# By-Product assessment report

## **BP075**

Pelagia Måløy Sildoljefabrikk (Pelagia AS)

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

*Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025* 



Report code	BP075	Date of issue	October 2025
Report code	DI 073	Date of issue	000001 2025

1. Application details					
Applicant	Pelagia Måløy Sildoljefabrikk (Pelagia AS)				
Applicant country	Norway				
2. Certification Body details					
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA				
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com				
Assessor name	Blanca Gonzalez				
CB internal peer reviewer name	Sam Peacock				
Internal peer review evaluation Agree with evaluation					
Number of Assessment days	0.5				
Comments on the assessment	The byproduct species listed in this report are not considered and ETP species according to Marin Trust definition fulfilling this requirement for the assessment. All of them are caught by Norwegian flagged vessels. Norway has a result of Medium Risk in Step 2, therefore Step 3 is not required and all listed byproducts are approved but may be source with caution.				
3. Approval validity	Valid from 10/2025 Valid until 10/2026				
4. Assessment cycle	Initial				

5. By-product assessment outcomes								
By-product species		Fishing Areas						
name	Flag country(ies)	Only applicable to Step	MarinTrust approval					
Common and Latin		3 assessed species	status					
names								
Herring - Clupea	Norway	NA	Approved source with					
harengus			caution					



Horse mackerel - Trachurus trachurus	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution
Blue whiting - Micromesistius poutassou	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution
Silvery cod/Pout - Gadiculus thori	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution
Whiting - Merlangius merlangus	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution
Cod - Gadus morhua	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution
Mackerel - Scomber scombrus	Norway	NA	Approved source with caution

#### **Guidance for on-site auditor**

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

#### Approved by-products

• No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

#### Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

• Review supplier records or procedures in place.

#### Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

#### Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder



The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



# Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

### **Step 2 Assessment Outcomes**

By-product species name  Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	CITES Appendices  Select CITES  appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status  Low risk/ Medium  risk/ High risk	Step 3 required  Yes / No
Herring - Clupea harengus	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Horse mackerel - Trachurus trachurus	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Blue whiting - Micromesistius poutassou	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Silvery cod/Pout - Gadiculus thori	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Whiting - Merlangius merlangus	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No



Cod - Gadus morhua	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Mackerel - Scomber N scombrus	Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No



### **Step 3 Assessment Outcomes**

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name (If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Category C Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Traceability information  Path 1 – Yes OR  Path 2 – Yes/No OR  MT Approved Whole  Fish	Step 3 Risk Outcome  Risk downgraded to  Medium Risk/ Remains  High Risk
Comments on Step	3 Assessment: N/A					

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 - Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager | Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted |

© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



# Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

# (step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

## Step 2 outcomes

Flag state	e Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Norway	Medium	2.42	2.39	2.1	1	1	1	1	92.00%



## Step 3 outcomes

### **Category C assessment**

Speci	ies nam	ne					
	ng area	and					
stock							
<b>C1</b>	Categ	ory C Stoc	k Status - Minimum Requirements				
	C1.1	Fishery re	emovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included				
		in the sto	ock assessment process, OR				
		are consi	dered by scientific authorities to be negligible.				
	C1.2	The spec	ies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a				
		biomass	above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR				
		removals	by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific				
		authoriti	es to be negligible.				
	Clause outcome:						
C1.1	Fishery	removals	of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the	e stock			
asses	sment	process O	R are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.				
Add r	rational	e here					
C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.							
Add rationale here							
Refer	References: N/A						
		-					



### **Traceability information**

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name						
Path 1	Yes □	Yes □ No □				
Confirm all KDEs are p	rovided	Yes □	No □			
Path 2	□ th 2, con	nplete the r	next section			
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coas	tal score	Port score	Risk outcome	
Countries may be					Choose an item.	
different for Coastal					Choose an item.	
State and Port State.						