

By-Product assessment report

BP074

Marine Biotechnology Products Ltd - Riche Terre

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025



Report code	BP074	Date of issue	April 2025
•			

1. Application details				
Applicant	Marine Biotechnology Products - Riche Terre			
Applicant country	Mauritius			
2. Certification Body details				
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA			
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com			
Assessor name	Blanca Gonzalez			
CB internal peer reviewer name	Jose Peiro Crespo			
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation			
Number of Assessment days	0.2			
Comments on the assessment	The byproduct species listed in this report are not considered and ETP species according to Marin Trust definition fulfilling this requirement for the assessment. All of them are caught by Mauritius flagged vessels. Mauritius has a result of Medium Risk in Step 2, therefore Step 3 is not required and all listed byproducts are approved but may be source with caution.			
3. Approval validity	Valid from 04/2025 Valid until 04/2025			
4. Assessment cycle	Re-Approval			

5. By-product assessment outcomes					
By-product species		Fishing Areas			
name	Flag country(ies)	Only applicable to Step	MarinTrust approval		
Common and Latin		3 assessed species	status		
names					
Albacore tuna -	Mauritius	NA	Approved source with		
Thunnus alalunga			caution		

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted

© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



Yellowfin tuna - Thunnus albacares	Mauritius	NA	Approved source with caution
Bigeye tuna - Thunnus obesus	Mauritius	NA	Approved source with caution
Skipjack tuna - Katsuwonus pelamis	Mauritius	NA	Approved source with caution

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

- No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.
- Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products
 - Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, byproducts that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	IUCN Red List Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	CITES Appendices Select CITES appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk	Step 3 required Yes / No
Albacore tuna - Thunnus alalunga	Mauritius	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Yellowfin tuna - Thunnus albacares	Mauritius	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Bigeye tuna - Thunnus obesus	Mauritius	Vulnerable	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Skipjack tuna - Katsuwonus pelamis	Mauritius	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted © Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



Step 3 Assessment Outcomes

Assessor note: All species identifed as requiring Step 3 in Table above, will have additional assessment information presented here.

By-product species name <i>Common and</i> <i>Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name (If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Category C Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Traceability information Path 1 – Yes OR Path 2 – Yes/No OR MT Approved Whole Fish	Step 3 Risk Outcome Risk downgraded to Medium Risk/ Remains High Risk
Comments on Step	3 Assessment: Asse	ssor note: Optional.	write N/A if not app	licable. Can include detc	ils on information provided	d by the client, reasons
for outcomes, clarij		cts stemming from N			ments of Risk Outcomes a	

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted © Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

(step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Assessor note: Copy and paste from Spreadsheet.

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Mauritius	Medium	2.13	2.72	1.97	1	1	1	1	84.43%

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted © Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Speci	ies nam						
Fishing area and stock							
Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements							
CI	C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included						
		in the stock assessment process, OR					
		are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a					
		biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR					
		removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific					
		authorities to be negligible.					
		Clause outcome:					
asses	C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible . Add rationale here						
C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.							
Add rationale here							
Refer	ences						



Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name						
Path 1		Yes 🗆 No 🗆				
Confirm all KDEs are p	provided	Yes 🗆 No 🛛				
Path 2	Yes □ No If yes for Pa		the next section			
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coastal sco	Port score	Risk outcome		
Countries may be				Choose an item.		
different for Coastal				Choose an item.		
State and Port State.						

Guidance for Applicants/Certificate holders on improved traceability

When by-product origin cannot be made more granular than major FAO Areas, or when the source fishery is taking place in the High Seas (i.e. outside of EEZs of all relevant nations), an assessor must evaluate the Coastal and Port scores for each nation that straddles that FAO Area. This may lead to higher risk outcomes for an applicant. To mitigate that risk, better practice involves securing KDEs from the source fishery of the by-products, thereby meeting Path 1 instead of Path 2.

What does better practices look like?

Comprehensive data collection and sharing: Collect detailed information using Key Data Elements (KDEs) including vessel identification and authorisation, species, catch areas, fishing method and dates. These are defined in the MarinTrust Standard clauses 2.11.2.2 and 3.2.5.

Supply chain transparency: Maintain detailed records at each step of the supply chain, from capture to final sale, to ensure traceability.

Interoperable systems and technologies to support the collection and transfer of this information.