

By-Product assessment report

BP049 -Protein and Oil Industry- Morocco

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025



Report code	BP049	Date of issue	April 2025

1. Application details					
Applicant	Protein and Oil Industry				
Applicant country	Morocco				
2. Certification Body details					
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA				
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com				
Assessor name	Sam Peacock				
CB internal peer reviewer name	Jose Peiro Crespo				
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation				
Number of Assessment days	0.2				
Comments on the assessment	Both byproduct species are Least Concern on the IUCN Red List, and neither appears in the CITES appendices. Both species are sourced from Moroccan vessels, which are categorised as Medium Risk. Therefore both are Approved Source with Caution.				
3. Approval validity	Valid from 04/2025 Valid until 04/2026				
4. Assessment cycle	Choose an item.				

5. By-product assessment outcomes							
By-product species name	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Areas Only applicable to Step	MarinTrust approval				
Common and Latin names		3 assessed species	status				
Sardina pilchardus - European Pilchard	Morocco		Approved source with caution				
Scomber colias - Atlantic chub mackerel	Morocco		Approved source with caution				
Guidance for on-site auditor							

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) | TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager



For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

• Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	Select CITES appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk	Step 3 required Yes / No
Sardina pilchardus - European Pilchard	Morocco	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Scomber colias - Atlantic chub mackerel	Morocco	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No



Step 3 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name (If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Category C Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Traceability information Path 1 – Yes OR Path 2 – Yes/No OR MT Approved Whole Fish	Step 3 Risk Outcome Risk downgraded to Medium Risk/ Remains High Risk		
	No step 3 species							

Comments on Step 3 Assessment: Assessor note: Optional, write N/A if not applicable. Can include details on information provided by the client, reasons for outcomes, clarifications on by-products stemming from MT Approved Whole Fish which allows adjustments of Risk Outcomes and any other details related to the assessment as applicable.



Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

(step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Morocco	Medium	2.29	1.78	2.17	1	1	1	1	49.06%
			•		_				



Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Speci	es nam	ne			
	Fishing area and				
stock					
C1			k Status - Minimum Requirements		
	C1.1		emovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included		
			ock assessment process, OR		
			dered by scientific authorities to be negligible.		
	C1.2	The spec	ies is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a		
		biomass	above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR		
		removals	by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific		
		authoriti	es to be negligible.		
			Clause outcome:		
C1.1	Fishery	removals	of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the	e stock	
asses	sment	process O	R are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.		
Add r	Add rationale here				
limit	C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.				
Add r	ational	e here			
Refer	ences				



Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name							
Path 1		Yes □	Yes □ No □				
Confirm all KDEs are p	rovided	Yes □	No □				
Path 2	o □ ath 2, con	nplete the r	next section				
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coas	tal score	Port score	Risk outcome		
Countries may be					Choose an item.		
different for Coastal					Choose an item.		
State and Port State.							

Guidance for Applicants/Certificate holders on improved traceability

When by-product origin cannot be made more granular than major FAO Areas, or when the source fishery is taking place in the High Seas (i.e. outside of EEZs of all relevant nations), an assessor must evaluate the Coastal and Port scores for each nation that straddles that FAO Area. This may lead to higher risk outcomes for an applicant. To mitigate that risk, better practice involves securing KDEs from the source fishery of the by-products, thereby meeting Path 1 instead of Path 2.

What does better practices look like?

Comprehensive data collection and sharing: Collect detailed information using Key Data Elements (KDEs) including vessel identification and authorisation, species, catch areas, fishing method and dates. These are defined in the MarinTrust Standard clauses 2.11.2.2 and 3.2.5.

Supply chain transparency: Maintain detailed records at each step of the supply chain, from capture to final sale, to ensure traceability.

Interoperable systems and technologies to support the collection and transfer of this information.