

By-Product assessment report

BP044

Thyborøn (TripleNine)

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025



Report codeBP044Date of issueApril 2025

1. Application details				
Applicant	Thyborøn (TripleNine)			
Applicant country	Denmark			
2. Certification Body details				
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA			
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com			
Assessor name	Sam Peacock			
CB internal peer reviewer name	Jose Peiro Crespo			
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation			
Number of Assessment days	0.2			
Comments on the assessment	All listed byproduct species are Least Concern, Vulnerable or Near Threatened on the IUCN Red List, and none appears in the CITES appendices. All species are sourced from vessels from seven countries, all of which are Low or Medium risk. Therefore all byproducts are Approved Source with Caution.			
3. Approval validity	Valid from 04/2025 Valid until 04/2026			
4. Assessment cycle	Initial			

5. By-product asse	ssment outcomes		
By-product species		Fishing Areas	
name	Flag country(ies)	Only applicable to Step	MarinTrust approval
Common and Latin names		3 assessed species	status

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager

Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted © Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



<i>Trachinus draco -</i> Greater weever	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway		Approved source with caution
<i>Platichthys flesus -</i> Flounder	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway		Approved source with caution
<i>Microstomus kitt -</i> Lemon sole	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway		Approved source with caution
Scomber scombrus - Mackerel	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway		Approved source with caution
<i>Pleuronectes platessa -</i> Plaice	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway		Approved source with caution
<i>Clupea harengus -</i> Herring	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway		Approved source with caution
<i>Merlangius merlangus -</i> Whiting	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway		Approved source with caution
<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus -</i> Haddock	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway		Approved source with caution
<i>Sardina pilchardus -</i> European pilchard	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway		Approved source with caution

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1 | Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted

© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



<i>Pollachius virens -</i> Saithe	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Approved source with caution
<i>Gadus morhua</i> - Cod	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Approved source with caution
<i>Sprattus sprattus -</i> European Sprat	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Approved source with caution

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

• No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

- Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products
 - Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, byproducts that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.



The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	IUCN Red List Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	CITES Appendices Select CITES appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk	Step 3 required Yes / No
<i>Trachinus draco -</i> Greater weever	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
<i>Platichthys flesus -</i> Flounder	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
<i>Microstomus kitt -</i> Lemon sole	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Scomber scombrus - Mackerel	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No



<i>Pleuronectes platessa -</i> Plaice	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
<i>Clupea harengus -</i> Herring	Sweden Poland Finland		Not listed	Medium risk	No
<i>Merlangius merlangus</i> - Whiting	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i> - Haddock	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Vulnerable	Not listed	Medium risk	No
<i>Sardina pilchardus -</i> European pilchard	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Near threatened	Not listed	Medium risk	No
<i>Pollachius virens -</i> Saithe	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
<i>Gadus morhua</i> - Cod	Denmark, Netherlands, Sweden, Poland, Finland, Germany, Norway	Vulnerable	Not listed	Medium risk	No

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 - Version 3.1

| Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager

Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted

© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



Sprattus sprattus -	Denmark, Netherlands,	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
European Sprattus	Sweden, Poland, Finland,				
	Germany, Norway				



Step 3 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name (If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Category C Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Traceability information Path 1 – Yes OR Path 2 – Yes/No OR MT Approved Whole Fish	Step 3 Risk Outcome Risk downgraded to Medium Risk/ Remains High Risk
			No Step 3 s	pecies		
for outcomes, clarij		cts stemming from I			ils on information provided ments of Risk Outcomes an	



Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

(step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Denmark	Medium	2	2.56	1.87	1	1	1	1	98.58%
Netherlands	Medium	2.21	2.44	1.87	1	1	1	1	96.70%
Sweden	Medium	1.33	2.22	1.77	1	1	1	1	96.23%
Poland	Medium	2.08	2	2.07	1	1	1	1	74.53%
Finland	Low	1.19	2	1.73	1	1	1	1	91.17%
Germany	Medium	2.17	2.22	1.83	1	1	1	1	92.45%
Norway	Medium	2.42	2.39	2.1	1	1	1	1	92.00%

Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd (09357209) |TEM-003 (previously FISH1) - Issued April 2025 – Version 3.1

| Approved by MarinTrust Fisheries Manager

Controlled Copy- No unauthorised copying or alteration permitted

© Marine Ingredients Certifications Ltd., for authorised use only



Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name								
Fishing area and stock								
C1 Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements								
CI	C1.1	in the sto	emovals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included ck assessment process, OR dered by scientific authorities to be negligible.					
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR						
		removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.						
			Clause outcome:					
C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. Add rationale here								
C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.								
Add rationale here								
Refer	ences							



Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name					
Path 1		Yes 🗆 No 🗆			
Confirm all KDEs are p	provided	Yes 🗆 No 🗆			
Path 2	Yes \Box No \Box If yes for Path 2, complete the next section				
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coastal score	Port score	Risk outcome	
Countries may be				Choose an item.	
different for Coastal				Choose an item.	
State and Port State.					

Guidance for Applicants/Certificate holders on improved traceability

When by-product origin cannot be made more granular than major FAO Areas, or when the source fishery is taking place in the High Seas (i.e. outside of EEZs of all relevant nations), an assessor must evaluate the Coastal and Port scores for each nation that straddles that FAO Area. This may lead to higher risk outcomes for an applicant. To mitigate that risk, better practice involves securing KDEs from the source fishery of the by-products, thereby meeting Path 1 instead of Path 2.

What does better practices look like?

Comprehensive data collection and sharing: Collect detailed information using Key Data Elements (KDEs) including vessel identification and authorisation, species, catch areas, fishing method and dates. These are defined in the MarinTrust Standard clauses 2.11.2.2 and 3.2.5.

Supply chain transparency: Maintain detailed records at each step of the supply chain, from capture to final sale, to ensure traceability.

Interoperable systems and technologies to support the collection and transfer of this information.