

By-Product assessment report

BP043

Fiskimjölsverksmiðja (Lodnuvinnslan hf)

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025



Report code	BP043	Date of issue	May 2025
po	51 0 10	- atc 0. 100 ac	a, 2023

1. Application details				
Applicant	Fiskimjölsverksmiðja (Lodnuvinnslan hf)			
Applicant country	Iceland			
2. Certification Body details				
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA			
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com			
Assessor name	Blanca Gonzalez			
CB internal peer reviewer name	Sam Peacock			
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation			
Number of Assessment days	0.2 days			
Comments on the assessment	The byproduct species listed in this report are not considered as ETP species according to Marin Trust definition, fulfilling this requirement for the assessment. All of them are caught by Iceland flagged vessels, which have a result of Low Risk in Step 2, therefore Step 3 is not required and all listed byproducts are approved.			
3. Approval validity	Valid from 05/2025 Valid until 05/2025			
4. Assessment cycle	Initial			

5. By-product assessment outcomes								
By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Areas Only applicable to Step 3 assessed species	MarinTrust approval status					
Mackerel - Scomber scombrus	Iceland	NA	Approved					



Herring - Clupea harengus	Iceland	NA	Approved
Capelin - Mallotus villosus	Iceland	NA	Approved

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

• Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

• In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.



Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Select IUCN red list category from dropdown	CITES Appendices Select CITES appendix status from dropdown	Step 2 risk status Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk	Step 3 required Yes / No
Mackerel - Scomber scombrus	Iceland	Least concern	Not listed	Low risk	No
Herring - Clupea harengus	Iceland	Least concern	Not listed	Low risk	No
Capelin - Mallotus villosus	Iceland	Least concern	Not listed	Low risk	No



Step 3 Assessment Outcomes

Assessor note: All species identifed as requiring Step 3 in Table above, will have additional assessment information presented here.

By-product species name Common and Latin names	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name (If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)	Category C Assessment Outcome Pass/Fail	Traceability information Path 1 – Yes OR Path 2 – Yes/No OR MT Approved Whole Fish	Step 3 Risk Outcome Risk downgraded to Medium Risk/ Remains High Risk
Comments on Step	3 Assessment: N/A					



Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

(step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Assessor note: Copy and paste from Spreadsheet.

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non- contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Iceland	Low	1.58	1.78	1.83	1	1	1	1	88.21%



Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Species name

Path 2 outcome

Assessor note: Dup	licate for eac	h species/	stock/
--------------------	----------------	------------	--------

Fishir	ng area	and						
stock								
C1	Categ	ory C Sto	k Status - Mir	nimum Requirements				
	C1.1	Fishery r	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included					
			in the stock assessment process, OR					
				ntific authorities to be negligible.				
	C1.2	-		red, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a				
				it reference point (or proxy), OR				
			•	y under assessment are considered by scientific				
		authoriti	es to be neglig					
				Clause outcome: es in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock				
C1.2 limit	C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.							
Refer	ences							
Tracea	bility ir	nformatio	n					
Inform	ation p	rovided fo	or Step 3 Path	1 or Path 2				
Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock								
	es nam	ne						
Path 1 Yes □ No □								
Confi	rm all k	(DEs are p	rovided	Yes □ No □				
Path	2		Yes ⊠ No	o □ oth 2, complete the next section				

Coastal score

Port score

Flag country

Risk outcome



Countries may be		
different for Coastal		
State and Port State.		