



By-Product assessment report

BP041 - FF Skagen A/S

Document TEM-003 (prev. FISH-1) - Version 3.1

Issued April 2025 – Effective April 2025

Report code	BP041	Date of issue	May 2025
--------------------	-------	----------------------	----------

1. Application details		
Applicant	FF Skagen A/S	
Applicant country	Denmark	
2. Certification Body details		
Name of Certification Body (CB)	LRQA	
Contact information for CB	mt-ca@lrqa.com	
Assessor name	Blanca Gonzalez	
CB internal peer reviewer name	José Peiró Crespo	
Internal peer review evaluation	Agree with evaluation	
Number of Assessment days	0.2	
Comments on the assessment	The byproduct species listed in this report are not considered and ETP species according to Marin Trust definition fulfilling this requirement for the assessment. All of them are caught by Denmark, Poland and Sweden flagged vessels, which have a result of Medium Risk in Step 2, therefore Step 3 is not required and all listed byproducts are approved but may be source with caution.	
3. Approval validity	Valid from 05/2025	Valid until 05/2025
4. Assessment cycle	Initial	

5. By-product assessment outcomes			
By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Areas <i>Only applicable to Step 3 assessed species</i>	MarinTrust approval status
Whiting - <i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution

Greater weever - <i>Trachinus draco</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
Saithe - <i>Pollachius virens</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
Haddock - <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
Lemon sole - <i>Microstomus kitt</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
Plaice - <i>Pleuronectes platessa</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
Herring - <i>Clupea harengus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
European pilchard - <i>Sardina pilchardus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
Flounder - <i>Platichthys flesus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
Cod - <i>Gadus morhua</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
Mackerel - <i>Scomber scombrus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
European hake - <i>Merluccius merluccius</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution
Sprat - <i>Sprattus sprattus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	NA	Approved source with caution

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

- No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

- Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

- In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.

Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes

By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	IUCN Red List <i>Select IUCN red list category from dropdown</i>	CITES Appendices <i>Select CITES appendix status from dropdown</i>	Step 2 risk status <i>Low risk/ Medium risk/ High risk</i>	Step 3 required <i>Yes / No</i>
Whiting - <i>Merlangius merlangus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Greater weever - <i>Trachinus draco</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Saithe - <i>Pollachius virens</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Haddock - <i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Lemon sole - <i>Microstomus kitt</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No

Plaice - <i>Pleuronectes platessa</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Herring - <i>Clupea harengus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
European pilchard - <i>Sardina pilchardus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Near threatened	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Flounder - <i>Platichthys flesus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Cod - <i>Gadus morhua</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Mackerel - <i>Scomber scombrus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
European hake - <i>Merluccius merluccius</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No
Sprat - <i>Sprattus sprattus</i>	Denmark, Poland and Sweden	Least concern	Not listed	Medium risk	No

Step 3 Assessment Outcomes

Assessor note: All species identified as requiring Step 3 in Table above, will have additional assessment information presented here.

By-product species name <i>Common and Latin names</i>	Flag country(ies)	Fishing Area	Stock name <i>(If applicable e.g. Eastern Pacific stock)</i>	Category C Assessment Outcome <i>Pass/Fail</i>	Traceability information <i>Path 1 – Yes OR Path 2 – Yes/No OR MT Approved Whole Fish</i>	Step 3 Risk Outcome <i>Risk downgraded to Medium Risk/ Remains High Risk</i>
Comments on Step 3 Assessment: <i>Assessor note: Optional, write N/A if not applicable. Can include details on information provided by the client, reasons for outcomes, clarifications on by-products stemming from MT Approved Whole Fish which allows adjustments of Risk Outcomes and any other details related to the assessment as applicable.</i>						

Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes

(step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

Assessor note: Copy and paste from Spreadsheet .

Flag state	Risk rating	Flag score	Port score	General score	Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs	'Carded' under EU Carding system	Flag state party to PSMA	Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet	WGI Governance rank
Denmark	Medium	2	2.56	1.87	1	1	1	1	98.58%
Poland	Medium	2.08	2	2.07	1	1	1	1	74.53%
Sweden	Medium	1.33	2.22	1.77	1	1	1	1	96.23%

Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name			
Fishing area and stock			
C1	Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements		
	C1.1	Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
			Clause outcome:
C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.			
References			

Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

Assessor note: Duplicate for each species/stock

Species name				
Path 1		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
Confirm all KDEs are provided		Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
Path 2		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <i>If yes for Path 2, complete the next section</i>		
Path 2 outcome	Flag country	Coastal score	Port score	Risk outcome

Countries may be different for Coastal State and Port State.				
--	--	--	--	--

Guidance for Applicants/Certificate holders on improved traceability

When by-product origin cannot be made more granular than major FAO Areas, or when the source fishery is taking place in the High Seas (i.e. outside of EEZs of all relevant nations), an assessor must evaluate the Coastal and Port scores for each nation that straddles that FAO Area. This may lead to higher risk outcomes for an applicant. To mitigate that risk, better practice involves securing KDEs from the source fishery of the by-products, thereby meeting Path 1 instead of Path 2.

What does better practices look like?

Comprehensive data collection and sharing: Collect detailed information using Key Data Elements (KDEs) including vessel identification and authorisation, species, catch areas, fishing method and dates. These are defined in the MarinTrust Standard clauses 2.11.2.2 and 3.2.5.

Supply chain transparency: Maintain detailed records at each step of the supply chain, from capture to final sale, to ensure traceability.

Interoperable systems and technologies to support the collection and transfer of this information.