



By-Product assessment report

BP007

Pelagia UK - Bressay

| | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Report code | BP007 | Date of issue | August 2025 |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|

| 1. Application details | |
|--|--|
| Applicant | Pelagia UK - Bressay |
| Applicant country | UK |
| 2. Certification Body details | |
| Name of Certification Body (CB) | Global Trust Certification Ltd, an NSF company |
| Contact information for CB | Fisheries@nsf.org |
| Assessor name | Lea Lebechnech |
| CB internal peer reviewer name | Matthew Jew |
| Internal peer review evaluation | Agree with evaluation |
| Number of Assessment days | 1 |
| Comments on the assessment | This assessment covers 1 byproduct species, scored as Approved, Source with Caution. |
| 3. Approval validity | Valid from 08/2025 Valid until 08/2026 |
| 4. Assessment cycle | Re-Approval |

| 5. By-product assessment outcomes | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| By-product species name | Flag country(ies) | MarinTrust approval status |
| Herring (<i>Clupea harengus</i>) | United Kingdom | Approved source with caution |

| | | | |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Report code | BP020 | Date of issue | March 2026 |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------|

| 6. Scope Extension Assessment | |
|--|---|
| Name of Certification Body (CB) | NSF (Global Trust Certification Ltd) |
| Contact information for CB | Fisheries@nsf.org |
| Assessor name | Sam Peacock |
| CB internal peer reviewer name | Lea Lebechnech |
| Internal peer review evaluation | Agree with evaluation |
| Number of Assessment days | 0.25 |
| Comments on the assessment | This scope extension is to include an additional species – Mackerel [<i>Scomber scombrus</i>] – with the flag state of UK. This species results as “Approved source with caution” as this does not require Step 3 of this assessment. |
| 7. Approval validity | Valid from 03/2026 Valid until 08/2026 |

| 8. By-product assessment outcomes - Scope extension | | Valid From: 03/2026 |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| By-product species name | Flag country(ies) | MarinTrust approval status |
| Mackerel (<i>Scomber scombrus</i>) | United Kingdom | Approved source with caution |

Guidance for on-site auditor

For the audit, the auditor will check how the facility manages by-products deemed medium risk. Any by-products downrated from high to medium risk will require additional due diligence checks.

It is important that facilities check all raw materials from and verify their suppliers especially if there is a perceived risk of sourcing from known or suspected IUU fishing activity. This requires checking supplier records or procedures in place to understand how the supplier can ensure there is no IUU in the raw material they provide. For raw materials risk rated medium, additional or more frequent checks may be required until the facility is certain that the raw materials are not from IUU fishing activity.

The audit requirements are covered in clause 2.11.3 of the MarinTrust Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients (the MarinTrust Standard) and associated interpretation guidance.

Approved by-products

- No further checks are required beyond those included in the MarinTrust Standard.

Additional checks of Approved Source with Caution by-products

- Review supplier records or procedures in place.

Additional checks of by-products Approved Source with Caution via Step 3 assessment

- In addition to checks for medium risk Approved Source with Caution by-products, by-products that have had risk downgraded from high to medium at Step 3 (use **Appendix 1** to identify these by-product species), confirm that the relevant traceability information continues to be collected for this by-product. During the audit, a traceability check on any by-products downgraded from high to medium risk shall be included as part of the required traceability checks (Section 4).

Guidance for the applicant/certificate holder

The applicant/certificate holder is responsible for ensuring the relevant actions are taken to comply with the MarinTrust Standard.

The certificate holder is responsible for communicating any changes to the by-products sourced by submitting a scope extension request through the MarinTrust online Application Portal.

Appendix 1 – assessment outcomes

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes

| By-product species name | Flag country(ies) | IUCN Red List | CITES Appendices | Step 2 risk status | Step 3 required? |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Herring (<i>Clupea harengus</i>) | United Kingdom | Least concern | Not listed | Medium risk | No |

Step 2 Assessment Outcomes – Scope Extension

| By-product species name | Flag country(ies) | IUCN Red List | CITES Appendices | Step 2 risk status | Step 3 required? |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Mackerel (<i>Scomber scombrus</i>) | United Kingdom | Least concern | Not listed | Medium risk | No |

Step 3 Assessment Outcomes

| By-product species name | Flag country(ies) | Fishing Area | Stock name | Category C Assessment Outcome | Traceability information | Step 3 Risk Outcome |
|--|-------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | | |
| Comments on Step 3 Assessment: No species require Step 3 in the By-Product Assessment Process | | | | | | |

Appendix 2 – detailed assessment outcomes (step 2 and step 3 if applicable)

Step 2 outcomes

| Flag state | Risk rating | Flag score | Port score | General score | Flag State is contracting party or cooperating non-contracting party to all relevant RFMOs | 'Carded' under EU Carding system | Flag state party to PSMA | Flag state mandatory vessel tracking for commercial seagoing fleet | WGI Governance rank |
|----------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------|
| United Kingdom | Medium | 2.04 | 2.61 | 1.97 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 93.40% |

Step 3 outcomes

Category C assessment

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Species name | | | |
| Fishing area and stock | | | |
| C1 | Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements | | |
| | C1.1 | Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. | |
| | C1.2 | The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. | |
| Clause outcome: | | | |
| <p>C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.</p> <p>N/A</p> | | | |
| References | | | |

Traceability information

Information provided for Step 3 Path 1 or Path 2

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| Species name | | N/A | | |
| Path 1 | | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Confirm all KDEs are provided | | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Path 2 | | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Path 2 outcome | Flag country | Coastal score | Port score | Risk outcome |
| | | | | Choose an item. |
| | | | | Choose an item. |

Guidance for Applicants/Certificate holders on improved traceability

When by-product origin cannot be made more granular than major FAO Areas, or when the source fishery is taking place in the High Seas (i.e. outside of EEZs of all relevant nations), an assessor must evaluate the Coastal and Port scores for each nation that straddles that FAO Area. This may lead to higher risk outcomes for an applicant. To mitigate that risk, better practice involves securing KDEs from the source fishery of the by-products, thereby meeting Path 1 instead of Path 2.

What does better practices look like?

Comprehensive data collection and sharing: Collect detailed information using Key Data Elements (KDEs) including vessel identification and authorisation, species, catch areas, fishing method and dates. These are defined in the MarinTrust Standard clauses 2.11.2.2 and 3.2.5.

Supply chain transparency: Maintain detailed records at each step of the supply chain, from capture to final sale, to ensure traceability.

Interoperable systems and technologies to support the collection and transfer of this information.