



RESPONSIBLE  
SUPPLY

IFFO RS  
Global Standard for Responsible Supply  
of Marine Ingredients

## IFFO RS Limited

T: +44 (0) 2030 539 195  
E: Standards@iffors.com  
W: www.iffors.com

Unit C, Printworks | 22 Amelia Street  
London, SE17 3BZ | United Kingdom



# Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients Fishery Assessment Methodology and Template Report V2.0



RESPONSIBLE  
SUPPLY

**IFFO RS**  
Global Standard for Responsible Supply  
of Marine Ingredients



<b>Fishery Under Assessment</b>	<b>Thornback ray <i>Raja clavata</i> ICES Divisions IV.a-c, VI.a, VII.a, b, d-h, j</b>
<b>Date</b>	June 2020
<b>Report Code</b>	2020-281
<b>Assessor</b>	<b>Virginia Polonio</b>
<b>Stock Pass</b>	<b>PASS</b>
<b>Stock Fail</b>	

<b>Application details and summary of the assessment outcome</b>				
Name: Pelagia Killybegs, Grimsby, Aberdeen				
Address:				
Country: UK & Ireland		Zip:		
Tel. No.:		Fax. No.:		
Email address:		Applicant Code		
Key Contact:		Title:		
<b>Certification Body Details</b>				
Name of Certification Body:		SAI Global Ltd		
Assessor Name	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/Re-approval	Whole fish/ By-product
Virginia Polonio	Geraldine Criquet	0.5	Re-approval	By-product
Assessment Period	To June 2020			

<b>Scope Details</b>	
Management Authority (Country/State)	EU, Common Fisheries Policy (CFP)
Main Species	Thornback ray <i>Raja clavata</i>
Fishery Location	ICES division VI.a
Gear Type(s)	Bottom trawl and purse seine
<b>Outcome of Assessment</b>	
Peer Review Evaluation	Agree with determination
Recommendation	<b>APPROVED</b>

### Assessment Determination

If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on IUCN's Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it cannot be approved for use as IFFO RS raw material. *Raja Clavata* does not appear as Endangered or Critically Endangered on IUCN's Red List, nor does it appear in CITES appendices; therefore, product originating from this fishery is eligible for approval for use as IFFO RS by-product raw material.

For assessment and management purposes, Thornback ray are managed within European waters under the Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) and scientific advice on their management provided by ICES. According to genetic studies available there is a low level of genetic differentiation between Thornback ray populations, across the Atlantic area, including Irish and Celtic Seas

Skates and rays, including thornback ray, are managed under a single TAC (by area) for all species (EC, Council Regulation 2019/124).

Scientific advice on Thornback ray is provided by ICES for the following ICES areas:

- Subarea IV and Divisions IIIa, VII.d (North Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat and Eastern English Channel)
- Subarea VI (West of Scotland)
- Division VIII (Bay of Biscay)
- Divisions VII.a, f-g (Irish Sea, Bristol Channel and Celtic Sea north)

The species is not subject to a species-specific research the comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population in the assessment area means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken. No quantitative stock assessment is currently available for any of ray stocks in the FAO 27 fishing area. Therefore, the fishery was assessed using the risk-based Productivity, Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) as per IFFO-RS v 2.0 procedures for Category D species. The species has passed this risk-based assessment (Table D3).

In order to be approved, stocks assessed must pass table D4 as the results of the PSA indicate that; therefore, as this is the case here D4 table has been completed for Subarea VI (West of Scotland) stock.

The stock has shown that there are management measures in place to consider the potential impacts on the species and so the fishery achieves a **PASS** in clause D4.1

Further, the stock assessed has presented that there is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species and so the fishery achieves a **PASS** in clause D4.2

Therefore, thornback ray (*R.clavata*) fishery in the FAO major fishing area 27, ICES Subarea VI (West of Scotland), by-product covered by this report, is **APPROVED** for the production of fishmeal and fish oil under the current IFFO RS v 2.0 by-product standard.

### Peer Review Comments

Agree with determination

### Notes for On-site Auditor

## Species-Specific Results

Category	Species	% landings	Outcome (Pass/Fail)
Category A			A1
			A2
			A3
			A4
Category B			
Category C			
Category D	Thornback ray, <i>Raja clavata</i>	Unk.	PASS

[List all Category A and B species. List approximate total %age of landings which are Category C and D species; these do not need to be individually named here]

## HOW TO COMPLETE THIS ASSESSMENT REPORT

This assessment template uses a modular approach to assessing fisheries against the IFFO RS standard.

### Whole Fish

The process for completing the template for a **whole fish** assessment is as follows:

1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table, to determine which categories of species are present in the fishery.
2. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete clauses M1, M2, M3: Management.
3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY A SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete clauses A1, A2, A3, A4 for **each** Category A species.
4. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY B SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete the Section B risk assessment for **each** Category B species.
5. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C species.
6. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D SPECIES IN THE FISHERY: Complete Section D.
7. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete clauses F1, F2, F3: Further Impacts.

A fishery must score a pass in **all applicable clauses** before approval may be recommended. To achieve a pass in a clause, the fishery/species must meet **all** of the minimum requirements.

### By-products

The process for completing the template for **by-product raw material** is as follows:

1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table with the names of the by-product species and stocks under assessment. The ‘% landings’ column can be left empty; all by-products are considered as Category C and D.
2. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C by-product.
3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete Section D.
4. ALL OTHER SECTIONS CAN BE DELETED. Clauses M1 - M3, F1 - F3, and Sections A and B do not need to be completed for a by-product assessment.

By-product approval is awarded on a species-by-species basis. Each by-product species scoring a pass under the appropriate section may be approved against the IFFO RS Standard.

## SPECIES CATEGORISATION

The following table should be completed as fully as the available information permits. Any species representing more than 0.1% of the annual catch should be listed, along with an estimate of the proportion of the catch each species represents. The species should then be divided into Type 1 and Type 2 as follows:

- **Type 1 Species** can be considered the ‘target’ or ‘main’ species in the fishery. They make up the bulk of annual landings and are subjected to a detailed assessment.
- **Type 2 Species** can be considered the ‘bycatch’ or ‘minor’ species in the fishery. They make up a small proportion of the annual landings and are subjected to relatively high-level assessment.

**Type 1 Species must represent 95% of the total annual catch. Type 2 Species may represent a maximum of 5% of the annual catch (see Appendix B).**

Species which make up less than 0.1% of landings do not need to be listed (NOTE: ETP species are considered separately). The table should be extended if more space is needed. Discarded species should be included when known.

The ‘stock’ column should be used to differentiate when there are multiple biological or management stocks of one species captured by the fishery. The ‘management’ column should be used to indicate whether there is an adequate management regime specifically aimed at the individual species/stock. In some cases it will be immediately clear whether there is a species-specific management regime in place (for example, if there is an annual TAC). In less clear circumstances, the rule of thumb should be that if the species meets the minimum requirements of clauses A1-A4, an adequate species-specific management regime is in place.

NOTE: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it **cannot** be approved for use as an IFFO RS raw material. This applied to whole fish as well as by-products.

### TYPE 1 SPECIES (Representing 95% of the catch or more)

**Category A:** Species-specific management regime in place.

**Category B:** No species-specific management regime in place.

### TYPE 2 SPECIES (Representing 5% OF THE CATCH OR LESS)

**Category C:** Species-specific management regime in place.

**Category D:** No species-specific management regime in place.

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category
Thornback ray	<i>Raja clavata</i>	Div. VI	No species-specific management regime in place (There is no specific TAC for this stock. Fishing opportunities are managed through an overall TAC by management unit, which includes all species of skates and rays)	D

## CATEGORY D SPECIES


In a whole fish assessment, Category D species are those which make up less than 5% of landings and are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. In a by-product assessment, Category D species are those which are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In both cases, the comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

The process for assessing Category D species involves the use of a Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) to further subdivide the species into ‘Critical Risk’, ‘Major Risk’ and ‘Minor Risk’ groups. If there are no Category D species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted.

Productivity and susceptibility ratings are calculated using a process derived from the APFIC document “Regional Guidelines for the Management of Tropical Trawl Fisheries, which in turn was derived from papers by Patrick *et al* (2009) and Hobday *et al* (2007). Table D1 should be completed for each Category D species as follows:

- Firstly, the best available information should be used to fill in values for each productivity and susceptibility attribute.
- Table D2 should be used to convert each attribute value into a score between 1 and 3.
- The average score for productivity attributes and the average for susceptibility attributes should be calculated.
- Table D3 should be used to determine whether the species is required to meet the requirements of Table D4. A species which does not need to meet the requirements of D4 is automatically awarded a pass.
- Table D4 should be used to assess those species indicated by Table D3 to determine a pass/fail rating.
- Any Category D species which has been categorised by the IUCN Red List as Endangered or Critically Endangered, or which appears in the CITES appendices, automatically results in a fail.

<b>D1</b>	<b>Species Name:</b>	<b>Thornback ray <i>Raja clavata</i></b>	
	<b>Productivity Attribute</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Score</b>
	Average age at maturity (years)	6.5	3
	Average maximum age (years)	10	2
	Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	170 max usually 48-74eggs	3
	Average maximum size (cm)	105	2
	Average size at maturity (cm)	76.6 (TL)	2
	Reproductive strategy	Paired eggs are laid and deposited on shallow sand, mud, pebble or gravel bottoms	2
	Mean trophic level	3.8	3
	<b>Average Productivity Score</b>		<b>2.43</b>
	<b>Susceptibility Attribute</b>	<b>Value</b>	<b>Score</b>
	Overlap of adult species range with fishery	>50% of stock occurs in area fished	3
	Distribution	Not scored if overlap attribute scored	
	Habitat	demersal	3
	Depth range	5-1020m	3
	Selectivity	Up to 4m in length	3
	Post-capture mortality	Most dead or retained	3
	<b>Average Susceptibility Score</b>		<b>3</b>
	<b>PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)</b>		<b>D4</b>
	<b>Compliance rating</b>		
<b>References</b>			
<b>Overlap attribute:</b>			



**Figure 1.** Computer Generated Native Distribution Map for *Raja clavata* (Thornback ray), with modelled year 2050 native range map based on IPCC RCP8.5 emissions scenario.

**Other attributes:**

**R1** Fishbase Thornback Ray: <https://www.fishbase.se/summary/2059>

**R2** Fishsource Thornback Ray [https://www.fishsource.org/stock\\_page/1997](https://www.fishsource.org/stock_page/1997)

*Standard clauses 1.3.2.2*

Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	Low productivity/ High risk	Medium productivity/ Medium risk	High productivity/ Low risk
	Score 3	Score 2	Score 1
Average age at maturity (years)	>4	2 to 4	<2
Average maximum age (years)	>30	10 to 30	<10
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	<1 000	1 000 to 10 000	>10 000
Average maximum size (cm)	>150	60 to 150	<60
Average size at maturity (cm)	>150	30 to 150	<30
Reproductive strategy	Live bearer, mouth brooder or significant parental investment	Demersal spawner "berried"	Broadcast spawner
Mean trophic level	>3.25	2.5–3.25	<2.5

Susceptibility attributes		High susceptibility/ High risk	Medium susceptibility/ Medium risk	Low susceptibility/ Low risk
		Score 3	Score 2	Score 1
Availability	1) Overlap of adult species range with fishery	>50% of stock occurs in the area fished	Between 25% and 50% of the stock occurs in the area fished	<25% of stock occurs in the area fished
	2) Distribution	Only in the country/ fishery	Limited range in the region	Throughout region/ global distribution
Encounterability	1) Habitat	Habitat preference of species make it highly likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. demersal, muddy/sandy bottom)	Habitat preference of species make it moderately likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. rocky bottom/reefs)	Depth or distribution of species make it unlikely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. epi-pelagic or meso-pelagic)
	2) Depth range	High overlap with trawl fishing gear (20 to 60 m depth)	Medium overlap with trawl fishing gear (10 to 20 m depth)	Low overlap with trawl fishing gear (0 to 10 m, >70 m depth)
Selectivity		Species >2 times mesh size or up to 4 m length	Species 1 to 2 times mesh size or 4 to 5 m length	Species <mesh size or >5 m length
Post capture mortality		Most dead or retained Trawl tow >3 hours	Alive after net hauled Trawl tow 0.5 to 3 hours	Released alive Trawl tow <0.5 hours

**Note:** Availability 2 is only used when there is no information for Availability 1; the most conservative score between Encounterability 1 and 2 is used.



D3	Average Susceptibility Score		
	1.00 – 1.75	1.76 – 2.24	2.25 – 3.00
Average Productivity Score	1.00 – 1.75	PASS	PASS
	1.76 – 2.24	PASS	TABLE D4
	2.25 – 3.00	PASS	TABLE D4

D4	<b>Species Name</b>	Thornback ray ( <i>Raja clavata</i> ) in Subarea 6 (West of Scotland)	
	<b>Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements</b>		
	D4.1	The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.	Pass
D4.2	There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.		Pass
			<b>Outcome:</b> Pass

**Evidence:**

**D4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management process, and reasonable measures are taken to minimise these impacts.**


For this stock, ICES cannot assess the stock and exploitation status relative to the maximum sustainable yield (MSY) and precautionary approach (PA) reference points because the reference points are undefined therefore the precautionary buffer is in place. ICES advises that when the precautionary approach is applied, landings should be no more than 174 tonnes in each of the years 2019 and 2020.

The Irish survey is considered to cover a large proportion of the stock area. The quality of landings data has improved in recent years. The apparent increase in landings from 2009 to 2013 might have also been influenced by the introduction of mandatory species-specific reporting of skate landings, measures in place to manage the exploitation of the species and to avoid discarding.

Having said that, there are management measures in place to consider the potential impacts on the species and so the fishery achieves a PASS in clause D4.1

**D4.2 There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.**

The last WGSARK 3 report has shown that the results of the IGFS survey presents an overall biomass increasing trend.(Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Thornback ray in Subarea 6. Mean biomass (kg km<sup>-2</sup> [IGFS-WIBTS-Q4]) and the 95% CI area. Dashed lines show the mean stock size indicator values for 2011–2015 and 2016–2017. (Source: ICES, 2018)

Therefore, given the overall biomass increasing trend, there is no evidence that the fishery has significant negative impact and it achieves a **PASS** in clause D4.2.

References

ICES. 2019. Working Group on Elasmobranch Fishes (WGEF). ICES Scientific Reports. 1:25. <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.5594>.

ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort Published 5 October 2018 rjc.27.6. <http://ices.dk/sites/pub/Publication%20Reports/Advice/2018/2018/rjc.27.6.pdf>

*Standard clause 1.3.2.2*