MarinTrust RS V2.0



BYPRODUCT FISHERY ASSESSMENT TEMPLATE REPORT

MarinTrust Ltd, Unit C, Printworks, 22 Amelia Street, London, SE17 3BZ, United Kingdom



TABLE 1 APPLICATION DETAILS AND SUMMARY OF THE ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

	Species:	Yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares)	
	Geographical area:	FAO 61 (Pacific, Northwest) and 71	
Fishery Under		(Pacific, Western Central)	
Assessment	Country of origin of the product:	Thailand	
	Stock:	Western Central Pacific yellowfin tuna	
Date	05 February 2021		
Report Code	243-2020		
Assessor	Sam Dignan		
Country of origin of	Theiland		
the product - PASS	Thailand		
Country of origin of	nil		
the product - FAIL			

Application details and summary of the assessment outcome					
Name:					
Address:					
Country:		Zip:	Zip:		
Tel. No.:		Fax. No.:	Fax. No.:		
Email address:		Applicant Code:	Applicant Code:		
Key Contact:		Title:	Title:		
Certification Body Details					
Name of Certification Body:		Global Trust Cert	ification Ltd.		
Assessor	Peer Reviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/Re-approval		
Sam Dignan	Virginia Polonio	0.5	Re-approval		
Assessment Period	To February 2021				

Scope Details			
Main Species	Yellowfin tuna (Thunnus albacares)		
Stock	Western Central Pacific yellowfin tuna		
Fishery Location	FAO 61 (Pacific, Northwest) and 71 (Pacific, Western Central)		
Management Authority (Country/State)	The Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC).		
Gear Type(s)	Longline, purse seines		
Outcome of Assessment			
Peer Review Evaluation	view Evaluation Agree with assessor's determination		
Recommendation	APPROVED		



TABLE 2. ASSESSMENT DETERMINATION

Assessment Determination

If a species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on IUCN's Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it cannot be approved for use as IFFO RS raw material.

Yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) is listed on the IUCN Red List as globally Near Threatened (NT) and is not listed in CITES such that yellowfin derived products are eligible for approval for use as IFFO RS by-product raw material.

For assessment and management purposes, two discrete stocks of yellowfin are recognised in the Pacific Ocean delimited based on their being east and west of 150°W longitude:

- 1. Western Central Pacific Ocean (WCPO) yellowfin (west of 150°W), managed via the Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC).
- 2. Eastern Pacific Ocean (EPO) yellowfin (east of 150°W), managed by the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC).

FAO areas 61 and 71 have their western boundary at 175°W such that yellowfin tuna taken in these areas may be assumed to originated from the Western Central Pacific stock; therefore, it is this stock that is considered in this assessment.

Fishery removals of the WCPO yellowfin tuna stock are considered in the WCPFC stock assessment processes such that the fishery **PASSES** Clause C1.1.

As of the latest assessment of the stock is considered to have a biomass above the corresponding limit reference point such that the fishery **PASSES** Clause C1.2.

As both Clause C1.1 and C1.2 are met, the by-product covered by this report is **APPROVED** for the production of fishmeal and fish oil under the current IFFO RS v 2.0 by-product standard.

Peer Review Comments

The last stock status has shown that despite it being quite high at $^{\sim}77\%$ of the median estimate of B_{MSY}, Stock status is evaluated by estimating SB_{recent}/SB_{F=0} and SB_{latest}/SB_{F=0}, where SB_{latest} and SB_{recent} are the estimated spawning potential in 2015 and the mean over 2011-2014, respectively. Therefore biomass is considered to be above limits and hence the by-product covered by this report is **APPROVED** under the current IFFO RS v 2.0 by-product standard.

Notes for On-site Auditor



SPECIES CATEGORISATION

<u>NB:</u> If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MARINTRUST raw material.

IUCN Redlist Category

Byproduct material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

Byproduct material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

TABLE 3 SPECIES CATEGORISATION TABLE

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IIICN Red List Category 1	CITES Appendix 1 ²
Yellowfin tuna	Thunnus albacares	Western Central Pacific yellowfin	WCPFC		Globally: Near Threatened (NT)	No
		tuna				

¹ <u>https://www.iucnredlist.org/</u>

² https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php



CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption. Clause C1 should be completed for **each** Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it may be assessed as a Category D species instead, EXCEPT if there is evidence that it is currently below the limit reference point.

Spe	cies	Name	Yellowfin tuna (<i>Thunnus albacares</i>) (Western Central Pacific stock)	
C1	Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements			
CI	C1.1	Fishery remov	als of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock	PASS
		assessment pr	ocess, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	
	C1.2	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above PASS		PASS
		the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are		
		considered by	scientific authorities to be negligible.	
			Clause outcome:	PASS

C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process via Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission (WCPFC) processes; therefore, **the fishery achieves a PASS against C1.1**.

C1.2 The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.

The most recent stock assessment for WCPO yellowfin was carried out in 2017 (Tremblay-Boyer et al. 2017a). The WCPFC has adopted 20% of the unfished spawning potential (20%SB $_{\text{F=0}}$) as a LRP for this stock; therefore, despite it being quite high at ~77% of the median estimate of B $_{\text{MSY}}$, this is the considered here. Stock status is evaluated by estimating SB $_{\text{recent}}$ /SB $_{\text{F=0}}$ and SB $_{\text{latest}}$ /SB $_{\text{F=0}}$, where SB $_{\text{latest}}$ and SB $_{\text{recent}}$ are the estimated spawning potential in 2015 and the mean over 2011-2014, respectively.

Majuro plots presented in Tremblay-Boyer et al. (2017a), show that there are only two scenarios for 'latest' and three for 'recent' which fall below the defined LRP; therefore, the stock is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to be above the limit reference point defined by management such that **the fishery achieves a PASS against C1.2**.

References

Tremblay-Boyer, S., McKechnie, S., Pilling, G., Hampton, J., 2017a. Stock assessment of yellowfin tuna in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean. WCPFC-SC13-2017/SA-WP-06.

Links	
MARINTRUST Standard clause	1.3.2.2
FAO CCRF	7.5.3
GSSI	D.3.04, D5.01



SOCIAL CRITERION

In addition to the scored criteria listed above, applicants must commit to ensuring that vessels operating in the fishery adhere to internationally recognised guidance on human rights. They must also commit to ensuring there is no use of enforced or unpaid labour in the fleet(s) operating upon the resource.



Appendix B: From MARINTRUST Standard V2.0 Annex 2: Fish Byproduct Assessment Methodology

Definition of a Fish By-product

A by-product is a useful and marketable product that is not the primary product being produced. A marketable by-product is from a process that can technically not be avoided. This includes materials that may be traditionally defined as waste such as industrial scrap that is subsequently used as a raw material in a different manufacturing process.

"Fish By-products" refers to commodities that are manufactured from fish, including shellfish, and crustaceans in a form that is different than conventional foods and which are intended for human consumption (either directly or as a food ingredient). Fish By-products include, but are not limited to:

- By-products derived from fish, including fish cartilage, fish oils, and fish proteins; and
- By-products derived from the carapaces of crustaceans; but do not include marine plants or marine plant products.

(Canadian Food Inspection Agency Definition)

In addition, a whole fish which is rejected on an intrinsic quality ground e.g. does not meet the specification for human consumption due to physical damage or the quality is substandard. These whole fish shall in these cases be classified as a by-product from the human consumption fishery, and can be used for marine ingredients production.

A whole catch of fish that is rejected by a fish processing factory on economic grounds is not considered to be a fish by-product. This fish can only be used for marine ingredients production if the fishery has been assessed and approved under the requirements of the IFFO Responsible Sourcing Standard.

Why utilise Fish By-products?

FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries

General Principles Article 6

6.7 The harvesting, handling, processing and distribution of fish and fishery products should be carried out in a manner which will maintain the nutritional value, quality and safety of the products, reduce waste and minimize negative impacts on the environment.

Responsible fish utilisation Article 11.1

11.1.8 States should encourage those involved in fish processing, distribution and marketing to reduce post-harvest losses and waste.

Benefits of Including Fish By-Products in the MARINTRUST Standard:

- 1. Improved fish resource utilisation
- 2. Reduction in waste for nutritional value
- 3. 35% of fish by-products are currently used to make quality fishmeal and oil
- 4. Excellent Economic return
- 5. Better compliance with FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries

What Fish By-products cannot be used?

1. IUCN

Fishery By-products shall Not be taken from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for certain categories;

• EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)



- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

Fish By-product material may be used from the vulnerable category, but it shall incur a fishery surveillance conducted by the certification body prior to it being included in the scope of this standard.

• VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.

The Fish By-product material from these species will be acceptable for use in the scope of this standard;

- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.

Fish By-product material may be used from the following category, but it shall incur a fishery surveillance prior to it being included in the scope of this standard;

• DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

The fishery surveillance conducted by the certification body will review the following areas:

Stock Assessment

- From a recognised Institution
- Fisheries are recognised as legal
- Fisheries do not contradict scientific opinion

2. FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries

In addition the Fish By-products shall not come from fisheries that do not comply with the following criteria:

- 1. Fisheries should prohibit dynamiting, poisoning and other comparable destructive fishing practices.
- **2.** Fishery material shall not be from IUU fishing activity nor sourced from vessels officially listed as engaging in illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing activity.

Sources of Information

- 1. Food Standards Agency
- 2. Canadian Food Inspection Agency
- 3. DEFRA
- 4. GAA Feed mill BAP standard
- 5. EU Commission
- 6. IUCN