

IFFO RS Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients

IFFO RS Limited

T: +44 (0) 2030 539 195 E: Standards@iffors.com W: www.iffors.com

Unit C, Printworks | 22 Amelia Street London, SE17 3BZ | United Kingdom





Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients Fishery Assessment Methodology and Template Report V2.0

Version No.: 2.0

Date: July 2017 Page 1



IFFO RS Global Standard for Responsible Supply of Marine Ingredients



| | Monkfish <i>L. budegassa</i> | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Fishery Under Assessment | Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay | | |
| Date | April 2020 | | |
| Report Code | 2020-254 | | |
| Assessor | Conor Donnelly | | |
| Stock Pass | ck Pass Lophius budegassa in subarea 7 and divisions | | |
| | 8.a-b and 8.d | | |
| Stock Fail | | | |

| Application detai | ls and summary of | the assessment | outcome | | |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Name: Pelagia | | | | | |
| Address: | | | | | |
| Country: UK, Irela | ind | Zip: | | | |
| Tel. No.: | | Fax. No.: | | | |
| Email address: | | Applicant Code: | | | |
| Key Contact: | | Title: | | | |
| Certification Body | y Details | | | | |
| Name of Certifica | Name of Certification Body: SAI Global Ltd | | | | |
| Assessor | Peer Reviewer | Assessment Days | Initial/Surveillance/R e-approval | Whole fish/ By- product | |
| Conor Donnelly | Virginia Polonio | 0.5 | Re-approval | By-product | |
| Assessment Period | 2020 | | | | |

| Scope Details | |
|---|--|
| Management Authority (Country/State) | EU/Common Fisheries Policy |
| Main Species | Monkfish |
| Stocks: | Lophius budegassa in subarea 7 and divisions 8.a-b and 8.d |
| Fishery Location | Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay |
| Gear Type(s) | Demersal otter trawl, Nephrops trawl, beam trawl, gillnet |
| Outcome of Assessment | |
| Peer Review Evaluation | APPROVE |

| Recommendations |
|-----------------|
|-----------------|

APPROVE

Assessment Determination

If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it cannot be approved for use as an IFFO RS raw material. *L. budegassa* does not appear as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, nor does it appear in the CITES appendices; therefore, this monkfish species is eligible for approval for use as an IFFO RS raw material.

This assessment covers the following stock:2) *Lophius budegassa* in subarea 7 and divisions 8.a-b and 8.d

L. budegassa is managed under the EU multiannual plan for the Western Waters within the framework of the EU Common Fisheries Policy and so is assessed under Clause C.

Fishery removals of the stock are included in the stock assessment process so the stock **PASSES** Clause C1.1.

The stock is considered, in its most recent assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point so the stock **PASSES** Clause C1.2.

In order to be approved, the stock assessed must pass both Clause C1.1 and C1.2; therefore:

1) *Lophius budegassa* in subarea 7 and divisions 8.a-b and 8.d is **APPROVED** by SAI Global assessors for the production of fishmeal and fish oil under the IFFO RS v 2.0 by-products standard.

Peer Review Comments

Overall the Peer Review agrees with the conclusions, however the concern is that sometimes the species are not defined when landing and both species, white and black bellies anglerfishes can be underestimated. Although in the last stock assessment for *L. budegassa*, ICES has assessed that fishing pressure on the stock is below FMSY, and that spawning stock size is above MSY Btrigger, Bpa, and Blim.

Therefore, *L. budegassa* in subarea 7 and divisions 8.a-b and 8.d is approved under the IFFO RS v 2.0 by-products standard.

Notes for On-site Auditor

HOW TO COMPLETE THIS ASSESSMENT REPORT

By-products

The process for completing the template for **by-product raw material** is as follows:

- 1. ALL ASSESSMENTS: Complete the Species Characterisation table with the names of the byproduct species and stocks under assessment. The '% landings' column can be left empty; all byproducts are considered as Category C and D.
- 2. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY C BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete clause C1 for **each** Category C by-product.
- 3. IF THERE ARE CATEGORY D BYPRODUCTS UNDER ASSESSMENT: Complete Section D.
- 4. ALL OTHER SECTIONS CAN BE DELETED. Clauses M1 M3, F1 F3, and Sections A and B do not need to be completed for a by-product assessment.

By-product approval is awarded on a species-by-species basis. Each by-product species scoring a pass under the appropriate section may be approved against the IFFO RS Standard.

SPECIES CATEGORISATION

The following table should be completed as fully as the available information permits. Any species representing more than 0.1% of the annual catch should be listed, along with an estimate of the proportion of the catch each species represents. The species should then be divided into Type 1 and Type 2 as follows:

- **Type 1 Species** can be considered the 'target' or 'main' species in the fishery. They make up the bulk of annual landings and are subjected to a detailed assessment.
- **Type 2 Species** can be considered the 'bycatch' or 'minor' species in the fishery. They make up a small proportion of the annual landings and are subjected to relatively high-level assessment.

Type 1 Species must represent 95% of the total annual catch. Type 2 Species may represent a maximum of 5% of the annual catch (see Appendix B).

Species which make up less than 0.1% of landings do not need to be listed (NOTE: ETP species are considered separately). The table should be extended if more space is needed. Discarded species should be included when known.

The 'stock' column should be used to differentiate when there are multiple biological or management stocks of one species captured by the fishery. The 'management' column should be used to indicate whether there is an adequate management regime specifically aimed at the individual species/stock. In some cases it will be immediately clear whether there is a species-specific management regime in place (for example, if there is an annual TAC). In less clear circumstances, the rule of thumb should be that if the species meets the minimum requirements of clauses A1-A4, an adequate species-specific management regime is in place.

NOTE: If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in the CITES appendices, it **cannot** be approved for use as an IFFO RS raw material. This applied to whole fish as well as by-products.

TYPE 1 SPECIES (Representing 95% of the catch or more)

Category A: Species-specific management regime in place. **Category B:** No species-specific management regime in place.

TYPE 2 SPECIES (Representing 5% OF THE CATCH OR LESS)

Category C: Species-specific management regime in place. **Category D:** No species-specific management regime in place.

| Common name | Latin name | Stock | % of landings | Management | Category |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--|------------------|------------|----------|
| Monkfish / Anglerfish | L. budegassa | subarea 7 and divisions 8.a-b and 8.d | NA | EU/CFP | С |

CATEGORY C SPECIES

In a whole fish assessment, Category C species are those which make up less than 5% of landings, but which are subject to a species-specific management regime. In most cases this will be because they are a commercial target in a fishery other than the one under assessment. In a by-product assessment, Category C species are those which are subject to a species-specific management regime and are usually targeted species in fisheries for human consumption.

Clause C1 should be completed for **each** Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. A Category C species does not meet the minimum requirements of clause C1 should be re-assessed as a Category D species.

| Spe | cies l | Name | Monkfish Lophius budegassa | |
|-----------|---|--|--|------|
| C1 | Categ | ory C Stock | Status - Minimum Requirements | |
| • | C1.1 | 1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included I in the stock assessment process OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. | | |
| | C1.2 | biomass ab | s is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a ove the limit reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery ssment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible. | |
| Claus | e outco | ome: | | PASS |
| C1.1 | Evidence This assessment covers the monkfish species <i>L. budegassa</i> , from the areas outlined in Fig 1 below. | | | |

| | TAC/Management area Assessment area Figure 1. Management and assessment area for <i>L. budegassa</i> in the Celtic Seas and Bay of Biscay (source: Marine Institute, 2019). |
|------|--|
| | There are two monkfish species in this area <i>L. piscatorius</i> and <i>L. budegassa</i> which are assessed separately but managed together under two TACs, one covering subarea 7, the other covering divisions 8a, b, d and e. |
| | Management is through the EU multi-annual plan (MAP) for stocks in Western Waters under the framework of the EU Common Fisheries Policy. Scientific advice is provided by ICES. |
| | <i>L. budegassa</i> is classified by ICES as a category 3 stock which means a trends-based assessment is possible. The biomass index from two surveys combined (IE-IGFS-WIBTS-Q4 and FR-EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4) was used as the index of stock development. ICES advice is then based on the ratio of the mean of the last two index values (index A) and the mean of the three preceding values (index B), multiplied by the recent advised catch (ICES, 2019) |
| | Consequently, fishery removals of the stock in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment process so the stock PASSES clause C1.1. |
| C1.2 | Evidence |
| | Recent trends in stock status of <i>L. budegassa</i> in Subarea 7 and in divisions 8.a-b and 8.d is shown in Figure 2 below. A proxy reference point based on fishing mortality has been defined for this stock. Catches have gradually increased since 2006. Discard data are only available since 2003. The stock size index shows a large increase in recent years. Fishing pressure has been decreasing since 2005 and is now below F_{MSY} . |




Figure 2. *L. budegassa* in divisions 7.b-k, 8.a–b, and 8.d. Summary of the stock assessment. The biomass and recruitment indices from the combined surveys are displayed with confidence intervals (95%). The 2017 point in the biomass index is imputed, and its confidence interval is not provided owing to the differing method. The short orange horizontal lines represent the mean biomass index in the most recent 2 years and the preceding 3 years (source: ICES, 2019).

Therefore, the stock is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference point; consequently, the stock **PASSES** C1.2.

References

ICES, 2019. Black-bellied anglerfish (*Lophius budegassa*) in Subarea 7 and divisions 8.a-b and 8.d (Celtic Seas, Bay of Biscay). In Report of the ICES Advisory Committee, 2019. ICES Advice 2019, ank.27.78abd. <u>https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.4755</u>

Marine Institute, 2019. The Stock Book 2019. Annual Review of Fish Stocks in 2019 with Management Advice for 2020. Marine Institute, Fisheries Ecosystems Advisory Services, Rinville, Oranmore, Co. Galway, Ireland.

Standard clauses 1.3.2.2