

## MarinTrust Standard V2

## By-product Fishery Assessment Report Template

#### **MarinTrust Programme**

Unit C, Printworks 22 Amelia Street London SE17 3BZ

E: standards@marin-trust.com

T: +44 2039 780 819



# Table 1 Application details and summary of the assessment outcome

	Spe	Species:					
	Geo	Geographical area:					
Fishery Under		Country of origin of					
Assessment		product:					
	Stoo						
Date			•				
Report Code							
Assessor							
Country of origin of th	ne						
product - PASS							
Country of origin of th	ne						
product - FAIL							
Application details and	l summa	ry of the assess	ment outcome				
Name:							
Address:							
Country:			Zip:				
Tel. No.:			Fax. No.:				
Email address:			Applicant Cod	e:			
Key Contact:			Title:				
Certification Body Deta							
Name of Certification I	Body:						
Assessor	Peer Re	eviewer	Assessment Days	Initial/Surveillance/ Re-approval			
Assessment Period							
Scope Details							
Main Species							
Stock							
Fishery Location							
Management Authorit	У						
(Country/ State)							
Gear Type(s)							
Outcome of Assessme	nt						
Peer Review Evaluatio	n						
Recommendation							



## Table 2. Assessment Determination

Assessment Determination
Fishery Assessment Peer Review Comments
Notes for On-site Auditor



## **Species Categorisation**

**NB:** If any species is categorised as Endangered or Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, or if it appears in CITES Appendix 1, it **cannot** be approved for use as an MARINTRUST raw material.

#### **IUCN Red list Category**

By-product material from a species listed by IUCN (the International Union for Conservation of Nature) under the Red List for the following categories shall immediately fail the assessment;

- EXTINCT (E) AND EXTINCT IN THE WILD (EW)
- CRITICALLY ENDANGERED (CR) facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
- ENDANGERED (EN) facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.

By-product material may be used from the following categories provided that all clauses in the MarinTrust standard are passed.

- VULNERABLE (VU) facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
- NEAR THREATENED (NT) does not qualify for above now, but is close or is likely to qualify for, a
  threatened category in the near future.
- LEAST CONCERN (LC) Widespread and abundant.
- DATA DEFICIENT (DD) and NOT EVALUATED (NE)

## **Table 3 Species Categorisation Table**

Common name	Latin name	Stock	Management	Category	IUCN Red List Category <sup>1</sup>	CITES Appendix 1 <sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.iucnredlist.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php

## **CATEGORY C SPECIES**

In a whole fish assessment, Category C species are those which make up less than 5% of landings, but which are subject to a species-specific management regime. In most cases this will be because they are a commercial target in a fishery other than the one under assessment.

Clause C1 should be completed for **each** Category C species. If there are no Category C species in the fishery under assessment, this section can be deleted. Where a species fails this Clause, it should be assessed as a Category D species instead.

<b>2</b> pe	ecies	Name					
C1	C1 Category C Stock Status - Minimum Requirements						
CI	C1.1	C1.1 Fishery removals of the species in the fishery under assessment are included in the stock assessment					
		process, OR a	process, OR are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.				
	C1.2	The species is	The species is considered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit				
		reference po	reference point (or proxy), OR removals by the fishery under assessment are considered by scientific				
		authorities to	be negligible.				
			Clause outcome:				
			ered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference	point (or			
			ered, in its most recent stock assessment, to have a biomass above the limit reference fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	point (or			
Refer	ences	movals by the	fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	point (or			
Refer	ences		fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	point (or			
Refer	ences	movals by the	fishery under assessment are considered by scientific authorities to be negligible.	point (or			



## **CATEGORY D SPECIES**

Category D species are those which make up less than 5% of landings and are not subject to a species-specific management regime. In the case of mixed trawl fisheries, Category D species may make up the majority of landings. The comparative lack of scientific information on the status of the population of the species means that a risk-assessment style approach must be taken.

1 Species Name		
Productivity Attribute	Value	Score
Average age at maturity (years)		
Average maximum age (years)		
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)		
Average maximum size (cm)		
Average size at maturity (cm)		
Reproductive strategy		
Mean trophic level		
	Average Productivity Score	
Susceptibility Attribute	Value	Score
Overlap of adult species range with fishery		
Distribution		
Habitat		
Depth range		
Selectivity		
Post-capture mortality		
	Average Susceptibility Score	
	PSA Risk Rating (From Table D3)	
	Compliance rating	
erences		
andard clauses 1.3.2.2		



## Table D2 - Productivity / Susceptibility attributes and scores.

Productivity attributes	Low productivity/ High risk	Medium productivity/ Medium risk	High productivity Low risk	
	Score 3	Score 2	Score 1	
Average age at maturity (years)	>4	2 to 4	<2	
Average maximum age (years)	>30	10 to 30	<10	
Fecundity (eggs/spawning)	<1 000	1 000 to 10 000	>10 000	
Average maximum size (cm)	>150	60 to 150	<60	
Average size at maturity (cm)	>150	30 to 150	<30	
Reproductive strategy	Live bearer, mouth brooder or significant parental investment	Demersal spawner "berried"	Broadcast spawner	
Mean trophic level	>3.25	2.5-3.25	<2.5	

Susceptibility attributes		High susceptibility/ High risk	Medium susceptibility/ Medium risk	Low susceptibility/ Low risk		
			Score 3	Score 2	Score 1	
Availability	a	Overlap of adult species ange with ishery	>50% of stock occurs in the area fished	Between 25% and 50% of the stock occurs in the area fished	<25% of stock occurs in the area fished	
	2) [	Distribution	Only in the country/ fishery	Limited range in the region	Throughout region/ global distribution	
Encounterability	1) F	Habitat	Habitat preference of species make it highly likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. demersal, muddy/sandy bottom)	Habitat preference of species make it moderately likely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. rocky bottom/reefs)	Depth or distribution of species make it unlikely to encounter trawl gear (e.g. epi-pelagic or meso-pelagic)	
	2) [	Depth range	High overlap with trawl fishing gear (20 to 60 m depth)	Medium overlap with trawl fishing gear (10 to 20 m depth)	Low overlap with trawl fishing gear (0 to 10 m, >70 m depth)	
Selectivity			Species >2 times mesh size or up to 4 m length	Species 1 to 2 times mesh size or 4 to 5 m length	Species <mesh or<br="" size="">&gt;5 m length</mesh>	
Post capture mortality			Most dead or retained Trawl tow >3 hours	Alive after net hauled Trawl tow 0.5 to 3 hours	Released alive Trawl tow <0.5 hours	

**Note:** Availability 2 is only used when there is no information for Availability 1; the most conservative score between Encounterability 1 and 2 is used.



D3		Average Susceptibility Score			
		1 - 1.75	1.76 - 2.24	2.25 - 3	
Average Productivity 1 - 1.75		PASS	PASS	PASS	
Score	1.76 - 2.24	PASS	PASS	TABLE D4	
	2.25 - 3	PASS	TABLE D4	TABLE D4	

<b>D4</b>	Spe	cies Name				
	Impacts On Species Categorised as Vulnerable by D1-D3 - Minimum Requirements					
	D4.1	4.1 The potential impacts of the fishery on this species are considered during the management				
		process, and reasonab	le measures are taken to minimise these impacts.			
	D4.2	There is no substantial evidence that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.				
			Outcome:			
Eviden	ice		•			
	-	ential impacts of the fi asures are taken to mir	ishery on this species are considered during the management process, and nimise these impacts.			
D4.2 T	here is n	o substantial evidence	that the fishery has a significant negative impact on the species.			
Refere	nces					
Links						
MARIN	NTRUST S	Standard clause	1.3.2.2, 4.1.4			
FAO CO	CRF		7.5.1			
GSSI	D.5.01					